

READING BOROUGH COUNCIL

REPORT BY EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF ECONOMIC GROWTH AND NEIGHBOURHOOD SERVICES

TO:	POLICY COMMITTEE		
DATE:	7 MARCH 2022		
TITLE:	ALLOCATION OF THE COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE LEVY 15% LOCAL CONTRIBUTION		
LEAD COUNCILLOR:	COUNCILLOR PAGE	PORTFOLIO:	STRATEGIC ENVIRONMENT, PLANNING AND TRANSPORT
SERVICE:	PLANNING	WARDS:	ALL
LEAD OFFICER:	MARK WORRINGHAM	TEL:	0118 9373337
JOB TITLE:	PLANNING POLICY TEAM LEADER	E-MAIL:	mark.worringham@reading.gov.uk

1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- 1.1 This report deals with the 15% of collected Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) which should be allocated to the local area in which development takes place. The report proposes an allocation of 15% local CIL collected up to 31st December 2021.
- 1.2 Appendices:
Appendix 1: Equality Impact Assessment
Appendix 2: Summary of assessment for each nominated project
Appendix 3: Project assessment forms for each nominated project

2. RECOMMENDED ACTION

- 2.1 That the following allocations of 15% local CIL collected up until 31st December 2022 be agreed, with a total allocation of £1.623m:
- £0.231m for improvements to Victoria Recreation Ground
£0.020m for new street lights in town centre streets lacking adequate lighting
£0.200m for Northcourt Avenue speed reduction
£0.200m for Waterloo Meadows playground
£0.100m for Robert Hewett Recreation Ground
£0.050m for traffic calming measures on Shaw Road and Boston Avenue
£0.003m for Sheeps Lane staggered barriers
£0.020m for Moriston Close playground

£0.200m for 20 is plenty zone on streets around Oxford Road
 £0.075m for Palmer Park green gym equipment
 £0.015m for Hexham Road Community Centre facelift
 £0.033m for Lower Mount green space
 £0.013m for improvements to Southcote Linear Park, Brunel Road
 £0.008m for new bus shelter for Coronation Square westbound
 £0.025m for lighting improvements at Coronation Square
 £0.170m for improvements to South Whitley Park
 £0.150m for Gateway area pedestrian crossings (Imperial Way and Basingstoke Road)
 £0.110m for Lulworth Road communal area improvements

- 2.2 That delegation be given to the relevant Assistant Director to complete necessary procurement processes to deliver the programme of work.
- 2.3 That spend approval be delegated to the relevant officers in accordance with the funds approved at 2.1 above. Any variation to the allocations above be delegated to the relevant officers in consultation with the Lead Members for Strategic Environment, Planning and Transport and Corporate and Consumer Services and the Assistant Director of Finance.
- 2.4 That a report be brought to a future meeting of Policy Committee in respect of proposed funding arrangements for the schemes identified in paragraph 4.27.

3. POLICY CONTEXT

- 3.1 Since 1st April 2015, the Council has operated the Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) within Reading. This is a levy that is applied to new development, and which is to be used to fund infrastructure to support growth. The collection and spend of CIL is governed by the Community Infrastructure Levy Regulations 2010 (as amended).
- 3.2 Under the CIL Regulations, where there is no neighbourhood development plan in place and where development was not granted permission by a neighbourhood development order, 15% of CIL money arising must be spent in the 'relevant local area' in which development takes place (this is referred to hereafter as '15% local CIL'). In many authorities, this means passing the relevant proportion of collected CIL to the parish councils or town councils in whose area development takes place, but Reading requires different arrangements.
- 3.3 A CIL Spend Protocol, originally approved at Policy Committee on 16th July 2018 (Minute 26 refers) and amended at Policy Committee on 15th February 2021 (Minute 97 refers) sets out a focus for the use of 15% local CIL as below and subject to the project according with a number of principles:
 - Open space improvements/small scale leisure;
 - Local highway improvement projects;

- Air quality;
- Community improvements;
- Renewable energy infrastructure;
- Economic Support;
- Other measures which help to mitigate the impact the development has on the area.

3.4 The protocol further states that allocations of 15% local CIL must accord with the following:

- Support:
 - (a) the provision, improvement, replacement, operation or maintenance of local facilities and/or infrastructure; or
 - (b) anything else that is concerned with addressing the demands that development places on a local area.
- May be included in the IDP and/or Approved Capital Programme.
- May enable other funds that would not otherwise be available or offer a financial return on investment, e.g. needed to match or draw grant funding;
- Address a specific impact of new development beyond that which has been secured through a S106 obligation or S278 agreement;
- Contribute to the delivery of key development sites in the district to realise the Local Plan proposals.

3.5 The process for allocating 15% local CIL is set out in full in the amended CIL Spend Protocol. In summary, the steps are as follows:

- Projects nominated by officers, members, community groups or members of the public, to be made by the end of the calendar year to feed into final allocations in the following Spring;
- Initial proposals will be discussed with lead councillors;
- Given that funds are limited the use of 15% local CIL funds will be normally allocated to small scale projects or around £100k or less;
- Public consultation on the general spending priorities under the local community 15% spend will take place every 3-4 years, at the beginning of the calendar year;
- The final allocation of funds will be made annually by the Policy Committee, based on the following considerations:
 - Deliverability (timescales, risks, resources required, dependence on external partners)
 - Financial considerations (value for money, additional capital funding required, revenue considerations)
 - Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation
 - Relationship with identified strategic priorities
 - Degree to which projects meet infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development.
- Annual progress report to Policy Committee.

4. THE PROPOSAL

(a) Current Position

- 4.1 Allocations of 15% local CIL totalling £2.896m have already been made towards 43 projects. Allocations were made as follows:
- A first allocation of £1.204m to 23 projects was agreed at Policy Committee on 26th November 2018 (Minute 49 refers).
 - Additional funds were allocated towards two of the selected projects by Decision Book in August 2020, bringing the total allocated to £1.339m (Decision Book reference 608).
 - A further allocation of £1.557m was made by Policy Committee on 14th June 2021 towards a further 20 projects. Committee also decided to make some minor amendments to some of the existing allocations that did not change the overall amount of 15% local CIL funds allocated (Minute 7 refers).
 - A reallocation of £0.035m between two of the projects allocated funds in June 2021 was made by Decision Book in January 2022, which did not change the overall amount of 15% local CIL funds allocated (Decision Book reference 647).
- 4.2 Progress on the projects which have already benefitted from 15% local CIL funds will be reported to a future meeting of Policy Committee after the end of the 21-22 financial year.
- 4.3 The Council has continued to collect 15% local CIL funds as a result of development taking place in Reading. Up to 31st March 2021, a total of £3.884m had been collected, with an additional £0.777m collected between 1st April and 31st December 2021. After taking account of the allocations already made and listed in paragraph 4.1, this means that there is a total of £1.765m available for allocation as at 31st December 2021.
- 4.4 In line with the new process for allocating 15% local CIL, a consultation was carried out on the priorities for use of these funds between February and April 2021, and results were reported in full to Policy Committee on 14th June 2021. As set out in paragraph 3.5 above, the results of consultation form a part of the consideration for how funds should be allocated. In total, there were 171 responses, and the priorities for 15% local CIL spend were as follows

Table 1: Ranking of future priorities from consultation

Overall rank	Item
1	Play areas and public open spaces
2	Climate change and renewable energy
3	Natural environment
4	Highways, transport and travel
5	General environmental enhancements
6	Community centres and hubs
7	Healthcare provision
8	Education provision
9	Heritage and cultural provision

- 4.5 In advance of the allocation of funds for 2022-23, officers wrote to all Councillors on 26th October 2021 requesting nominations for

infrastructure projects relevant to their ward, and asking that Councillors make a single submission per ward if possible. A deadline of 26th November was given. In addition, a form for members of the public to nominate infrastructure projects was placed on the website. Officers from the relevant sections have had a chance to review the projects and provide further information, including costing and delivery details wherever possible. Officers have also nominated projects for potential funding.

- 4.6 In total, there are 42 projects on the list of nominated projects. Of these, 34 were nominated by Councillors, 11 by officers and 1 by members of the public. Some projects were nominated by both Councillors and officers/members of the public, which is why the above do not sum to 42. One further project nominated was excluded as it is to be funded from normal budgets. Another project was not relevant to the ward of the Councillor who nominated it, which was what had been specifically requested, so in the interests of fairness to all Councillors it has been excluded.
- 4.7 It is proposed that the projects to receive 15% local CIL funds be selected from the list of nominated projects. The list is set out in summary in Appendix 2 and in further detail in Appendix 3.

(b) Option Proposed

- 4.8 The nominated projects have been assessed by officers against the five criteria from the CIL Spend Protocol (see paragraph 3.5 of this report), in addition to compliance with the CIL Regulations. An assessment form has been used for each project, and all completed assessment forms are set out in full in Appendix 3. For ease of reference, Appendix 2 contains a summary table with a summary of the assessment for each project.
- 4.9 As above, the purpose of the assessment is to consider compliance against the criteria. It does not, in most cases, come to a conclusion on whether or not a project should form part of the final allocation, not least because there are more projects that meet all or most of the criteria than there are available funds.
- 4.10 For each of the six criteria, the assessment form sets out the degree to which the project meets the criterion. This is indicated as follows:
- Project meets the criterion = ✓
 - Uncertainty about whether the project meets the criterion, or project does not meet the criterion in full = ?
 - Project does not meet the criterion = X

For deliverability only, there is an additional distinction as follows:

- Project expected to be deliverable but likely later than 2022-23 = ✓*
- Deliverability uncertain = ?

4.11 It is worth emphasising that it is not the case that every criterion has to be met in order to be allocated funds. The only essential criterion is that CIL Regulations are fulfilled. Otherwise, a project can be allocated funds without clearly meeting the criterion or without meeting it at all. A balanced decision is required. In addition, there is no attempt to create an overall quantitative score for each project, as this will not necessarily lead to the best decision.

4.12 Important details of how each criterion has been considered are set out below.

CIL Regulations

4.13 Under Regulation 59C of the CIL Regulations 2010 (as amended), 15% local CIL must be applied to:

- (a) the provision, improvement, replacement, operation or maintenance of infrastructure; or
- (b) anything else that is concerned with addressing the demands that development places on an area.

4.14 The criteria differ from the criteria for allocating 80% strategic CIL, and there is considerable scope for flexibility. Almost all nominated projects clearly fulfil the Regulations, but there are one or two where compliance is not clear at this stage.

Deliverability

4.15 This criterion is key, because without a deliverable scheme the funds cannot be effectively spent. Most deliverable projects are within the financial year 2022-23, although some may involve delivery in 2023-24 or beyond.

4.16 However, there are some projects where deliverability requires further assessment. This does not prevent funds being allocated, but it will mean that work to assess the deliverability will need to take place after allocation, which may affect delivery timescales and the scope and detail of the project. In some cases, if a deliverable project cannot be identified after funds have been allocated, these funds may need to be reallocated in future. In a limited number of cases, it is not considered likely that a specific nomination is deliverable, in which case allocation is not recommended.

Financial considerations

4.17 The assessment under this criterion considers the total funds required (providing information on how this is broken down or has been identified where necessary), the availability of any other capital funds that could supplement CIL or potentially provide an alternative source of funding, revenue implications and a high level view on whether the project is likely to provide value for money.

4.18 The CIL Spend Protocol states that:

“Given that funds are limited the use of 15% local CIL funds will be normally allocated to small scale projects of around £100k or less”

Whilst this is not an absolute requirement, and allocations of larger sums have already been made, since this is explicitly stated in the protocol it should be taken into account. In a number of cases, this makes the difference between meeting the criterion in full and not clearly meeting it.

Accordance with spending priorities outlined in consultation

- 4.19 This sets out the general priorities that were identified as a result of the consultation undertaken between February and April 2021 and reported to Policy Committee in June 2021, and the degree to which those priorities were favoured in consultation.
- 4.20 It is worth being aware that, when priorities are identified at ward level, the low number of responses within a single ward may mean that the results are not necessarily fully representative. For instance, each of Battle, Church, Katesgrove, Norcot, Southcote and Whitley had 10 or less responses.
- 4.21 It should also be noted that some of the nominations identified multiple priorities that a project would deliver. In most cases, the assessment seeks to place the project within the priority or priorities with which it most closely accords, otherwise the assessment against this criterion starts to lose its meaning. For instance, enhancements to public open space, whilst likely having benefits in terms of climate change, clearly sit within the ‘play areas and open spaces’ priority.

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

- 4.22 Under this criterion, the assessments consider the degree to which the projects help to meet identified strategic priorities. The main identified sources of strategic priorities are:
- The Corporate Plan 2021-22;
 - The CIL Spend Protocol itself, which identifies some priorities for both strategic and local CIL spend. These are also set out in the Infrastructure Funding Statement, which is the statutory document that identifies priorities for developer funding; and
 - The Infrastructure Delivery Plan, which is currently part of the adopted Local Plan, but which can be updated periodically outside the Local Plan process.
- 4.23 However, other policies and priorities are also identified where relevant.

Degree to which projects meet infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

- 4.24 This involves assessing the recent and planned level of development within the area of the proposed project. The revision to the CIL Spend Protocol in February 2021 moved away from the approach of dividing Reading into four zones and allocating the funds raised within the zone to that zone, but it is nevertheless worth considering the

relationship to where development takes place as one of the criteria. The indicator used here is the proportion of new dwellings built over the last five years (2016-21) and with planning permission at 1st April 2021 that is within the relevant ward. Although commercial development may also impact on resources, this typically follows quite a similar geographical pattern to residential in terms of wards. This section also considers the degree to which the type of infrastructure supports residential development.

Conclusion

- 4.25 A short project conclusion is included, towards the beginning of the form to summarise the compliance with the criteria. Please note that this is not a recommendation on whether a project should be funded at this stage, unless there are specific reasons why officers consider that a project ought not to be considered further, or requires further discussion to understand the proposal further.

Recommended allocation

- 4.26 It is recommended that, due to the amount of projects that have been nominated at this point, that allocation of all 15% local CIL funds collected up to the end of December 2021 be considered, as opposed to the funds collected up to the end of the previous financial year as would normally be the case under the CIL Spend Protocol. This allows a larger range of projects to be allocated funds, and also reflects the fact that some of the projects are likely to take longer to deliver than a single financial year, so it would make sense to allocate the funds at this point.
- 4.27 The allocation also needs to be considered in the context of the 80% of CIL which is used to cover more strategic projects, and which is also covered in the CIL Spend Protocol, particularly given that there are more nominations for the use of 15% local CIL than there are available funds. Some projects could be considered for funding from 80% strategic CIL in the future. This includes projects that apply to the whole of Reading (the listed Boroughwide projects) or to more than two wards (for instance High Streets Heritage Action Zone, Abbey 06, or lighting improvements to west Reading railway footbridges, Southcote, Minster and Battle 01). It might also apply to projects that would provide a strategic level facility that would serve a wider catchment than the immediate neighbourhood, such as the John Rabson's skate park (Church 01). The use of 80% strategic CIL will need to be considered at a future point.
- 4.28 The proposed allocation of 15% local CIL funds collected up to 31st December 2021 after consideration against the criteria would total £1.623m. This comprises the schemes set out below, further details of which are included in Appendix 3.

Table 2: Proposed allocation

Ref	Project	Allocation recommended
-----	---------	------------------------

Abbey 01	Improvements to Victoria Recreation Ground	£0.231m
Abbey 04	New Street Lights in town centre streets lacking adequate lighting	£0.020m
Church 02	Northcourt Avenue speed reduction	£0.200m
Katesgrove 01	Waterloo Meadows playground only	£0.200m
Minster 01	Robert Hewett Recreation Ground	£0.100m
Minster 02	Traffic calming measures on Shaw Road and Boston Avenue	£0.050m
Minster 03	Sheeps Lane staggered barriers	£0.003m
Norcot 01	Moriston Close playground	£0.020m
Norcot & Battle 01	20 is plenty zone on streets around Oxford Road	£0.200m
Park 03	Palmer Park green gym equipment	£0.075m
Redlands 02	Hexham Road Community Centre facelift	£0.015m
Redlands 04	Lower Mount green space	£0.033m
Southcote 01	Improvements to Southcote Linear Park, Brunel Road	£0.013m
Southcote 02	New Bus shelter for Coronation Square westbound	£0.008m
Southcote 03	Lighting improvements at Coronation Square	£0.025m
Whitley 01	Improvements to South Whitley Park	£0.170m
Whitley 02	Gateway area pedestrian crossings (Imperial Way and Basingstoke Road)	£0.150m
Whitley 05	Lulworth Road communal area improvements	£0.110m
	Total	£1.623m

- 4.29 This approach directs more than half of the funds towards the three wards where by far the largest amount of development is taking place (Abbey, Whitley and Katesgrove, which together see almost 80% of the recent or permitted new homes), whilst still ensuring that those parts of the Borough that also continue to see development taking place (the west, south and east) also see funds. It also provides funds across a range of different priorities to ensure that there are no delivery issues caused by capacity within specific service areas, whilst still focusing on the top priority identified during consultation, with almost £1 million to be allocated towards play areas and open spaces. Finally, it delivers a mix of larger projects with longer delivery timelines and smaller projects that can often be delivered relatively quickly.

Next steps

- 4.30 Once an allocation is agreed by this Committee, work can commence on delivering the projects. More information on the steps necessary for delivery of individual projects is set out in Appendix 3. In some cases there is some additional project scoping work required, or there may be a need to go through additional steps such as consultation or a

planning application, but in other cases delivery could start almost immediately.

- 4.31 For those projects which are more complex or larger scale, once funds are allocated, officers will continue to work together with the relevant ward and lead Councillors as the project progresses.

(c) Other Options Considered

- 4.32 There are considerably more nominated projects than can be allocated funds at this stage. Many of these projects meet some, or most, of the criteria for allocation. This means a large number of alternative selections of projects open to this Committee to consider, far too many to itemise in this report. The recommended allocation represents a good mix of deliverable projects across the Borough, with a focus on those areas seeing the greatest level of development, across a number of types of infrastructure, and across a number of sizes of project, but it is not the only possible distribution of funds that would fulfil the regulations and agreed process and criteria.

5. CONTRIBUTION TO STRATEGIC AIMS

- 5.1 The proposals to allocate 15% local CIL supports a number of strategic aims. The contribution to strategic priorities is one of the criteria against which each individual project is addressed. Given the proposed allocation of the majority of funds to transport, open space and leisure, community and general environmental enhancements the recommendations set out in this report mainly support the following priorities in the Corporate Plan 2021/22:

- Healthy environment;
- Thriving communities; and
- Inclusive economy.

- 5.2 The project assessment forms in Appendix 3 refer to specific elements of the Corporate Plan where relevant.

6. ENVIRONMENTAL AND CLIMATE IMPLICATIONS

- 6.1 A wide variety of projects have been nominated or are proposed for allocation, all of which will have differing environmental and climate implications. These projects can broadly be grouped by type, and the environmental implications summarised for each type of project

Table 3: Summary of environmental and climate implications

Type	Relevant projects in proposed allocation	Implications
Play areas and open space improvements	Abbey 01, Katesgrove 01, Minster 01, Norcot 01, Park 03, Redlands 04, Southcote 01,	Energy: Small negative effect of installation works Waste: Nil Transport: Improvements close to where people live reduce the need to travel.

	Whitley 01, Whitley 05 and other nominated projects	Resilience: Nil other than positive implications where new planting proposed (e.g. Redlands 04) or drainage improvements planned (Whitley 01).
Pedestrian crossings and infrastructure	Whitley 02 and other nominated projects	Energy: Small negative effect of installation works and potentially operation, positive effect of promotion of walking rather than other forms of transport. Waste: Nil Transport: Positive impact as improvements for pedestrians encourage walking Resilience: Nil
Street lighting	Abbey 04, Southcote 03 and other nominated projects	Energy: Small negative effect of installation works and operation, positive effect of promotion of walking rather than other forms of transport. Waste: Nil Transport: Positive impact as improvements for pedestrians encourage walking Resilience: Nil
Traffic management measures	Church 02, Minster 02, Minster 03 and other nominated projects	Energy: Small negative effect of implementation works, positive effect of improving active travel rather than other forms of transport. Waste: Nil Transport: Positive impact as improvements allow for active travel. Resilience: Nil
Public transport measures	Southcote 02	Energy: Small negative effect of installation works and potentially operation, positive effect of promotion of more sustainable form of transport. Waste: Nil Transport: Positive impact as creates public transport improvements. Resilience: Nil
Cultural and heritage projects	Other nominated projects	Energy: Small negative effect through works Waste: Nil Transport: Nil Resilience: Nil
Community centres	Redlands 02	Energy: Small negative effect through refurbishment works Waste: Nil Transport: Improvements close to where people live reduce the need to travel. Resilience: Nil

7. COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT AND INFORMATION

- 7.1 There is no statutory requirement for community engagement on allocation or spend of 15% local CIL. However, national Planning Practice Guidance states that authorities “*should engage with the communities where development has taken place and agree with them how best to spend the neighbourhood funding*”. It is for authorities to set out how this consultation will take place.

7.2 Consultation on the proposed allocation of 15% local CIL collected up to 31st March 2020 and priorities for future spend took place between 19th February and 16th April 2021. The results were reported to Policy Committee on 14th June 2021, and the assessment forms in Appendix 3 set out how the projects relate to the consultation results.

7.3 In line with the CIL Protocol, it is not proposed that the allocated projects be subject to consultation separately.

8. EQUALITY ASSESSMENT

8.1 The Scoping Assessment, included at Appendix 1 identifies that an Equality Impact Assessment (EqIA) is relevant to this decision. The EqIA (also at Appendix 1) identifies that, where there are identified impacts upon specific groups, these are expected to be positive. Compliance with the duties under S149 of the Equality Act 2010 can involve treating some persons more favourably than others, but it is not considered that there will be a negative impact on other groups with relevant protected characteristics.

9. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

9.1 The collection and application of CIL is governed by the CIL Regulations 2010 (as amended). Regulation 59F states that, where there are no parish councils, the portion of CIL that would otherwise have been passed to parishes (which, where no neighbourhood plan is in place, is 15%) should be used to support the development of the relevant area by funding:

- “(a) the provision, improvement, replacement, operation or maintenance of infrastructure; or*
- (b) anything else that is concerned with addressing the demands that development places on an area.”*

9.2 The ‘relevant area’ in this instance is the part of an authority’s area not covered by a parish council area, which in this case means the whole Borough.

9.3 An assessment of the degree to which each nominated project complies with Regulation 59F is included in Appendix 3.

10. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

10.1 CIL funds can be used flexibly to fund any infrastructure projects as defined within the regulations and are not tied to a specific development or the provision of specific infrastructure. Of the total CIL receipts, 80% will be used to fund strategic infrastructure through the Council’s capital programme. 15% will be spent in the ‘relevant local area’ in which development occurs. The 15% local CIL does not have to be spent on items in the Infrastructure Funding Statement. Up to 5% of CIL will be allocated to cover CIL administration costs.

- 10.2 The amended CIL Spend Protocol agreed at Policy Committee on 15th February 2021 set out proposed procedures for dealing with the allocation and monitoring of the use of all CIL receipts and provides a framework for identifying projects that contribute to achieving the Council's strategic priorities while meeting CIL regulations. This enables the optimum use of the finite resources available.
- 10.3 The summary position in relation to 15% local CIL funds collected up to 31st December 2021 is set out in Table 3 below.

Table 3: Summary position for 15% local CIL collected

15% local CIL collected up to 31/03/2021	£3.884m
15% local CIL collected between 31/03/2021 and 31/01/2021	£0.777m
Allocated in November 2018 by Policy Committee	£1.204m
Allocated in August 2020 by Decision Book	£0.135m
Allocated in June 2021 by Policy Committee	£1.557m
Proposed allocation in this report	£1.623m
15% local CIL remaining unallocated after proposed allocation (to be carried forward)	£0.142m

- 10.4 Up to 10% of the allocated funds can be used for project management costs. Revenue implications of the nominated projects are set out in relation to each project in Appendix 3. In most cases the revenue costs from those projects recommended for funding would be covered from existing maintenance budgets.

Value for Money (VFM)

- 10.5 The project assessment forms included in Appendix 3 include consideration of whether the nominated projects offer value for money. It is considered that all projects forming part of the recommendation for funding are likely to offer value for money.

Risk Assessment

- 10.6 The project assessment forms in Appendix 3 highlight where a financial risk has been identified for each project. In the event that schemes identified as part of the allocation are not delivered, remaining funds will be available for future allocations. The spend of CIL is not time-limited.

BACKGROUND PAPERS

None

APPENDIX 1: EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Provide basic details

Name of proposal/activity/policy to be assessed:

Allocation of 15% local CIL funds

Directorate: DEGNS - Directorate of Economic Growth and Neighbourhood Services

Service: Planning

Name: Mark Worringham

Job Title: Planning Policy Team Leader

Date of assessment: 10/02/2022

Scope your proposal

What is the aim of your policy or new service?

To allocate funds received through CIL receipts to local projects within the Borough, and to determine how future allocations will be undertaken.

Who will benefit from this proposal and how?

The local community will benefit through a range of capital and other improvements - including highway enhancements, improved leisure/open space enhancements and community enhancements.

What outcomes will the change achieve and for whom?

The outcome will be to secure improved facilities, improved accessibility and improvements to community facilities.

Who are the main stakeholders and what do they want?

The local community, wider public and community groups. The public will want to ensure allocations of funds are to projects that meet infrastructure needs and identified priorities, particularly where it arises from new development.

Assess whether an EIA is Relevant

How does your proposal relate to eliminating discrimination; promoting equality of opportunity; promoting good community relations?

Do you have evidence or reason to believe that some (racial, disability, gender, sexuality, age and religious belief) groups may be affected differently than others? (Think about your monitoring information, research, national data/reports etc)

Yes ☒ No ☐

Is there already public concern about potentially discriminatory practices/impact or could there be? Think about your complaints, consultation, feedback.

Yes ☐ No ☒

If the answer is **Yes** to any of the above you need to do an Equality Impact Assessment.

If No you **MUST** complete this statement

An Equality Impact Assessment is not relevant because: N/A

Assess the Impact of the Proposal

Your assessment must include:

- Consultation
- Collection and Assessment of Data
- Judgement about whether the impact is negative or positive

Consultation

Relevant groups/experts	How were/will the views of these groups be obtained	Date when contacted
A public consultation was undertaken on the future priorities for allocation of 15% local CIL, and results were reported to Policy Committee on 14 th June 2021.	An e-mail highlighting the consultation was sent to contacts on the Council's Citizen's panel list, as well as being included within the consultations list on the website.	February 2021

Collect and Assess your Data

Describe how could this proposal impact on Racial groups

No specific impacts are identified

Is there a negative impact? Yes ☐ No ☒ Not sure ☐

Describe how could this proposal impact on Gender/transgender (cover pregnancy and maternity, marriage)

No specific impacts are identified.

Is there a negative impact? Yes ☐ No ☒ Not sure ☐

Describe how could this proposal impact on Disability

Projects allocated funding will need to ensure appropriate access for all.

Is there a negative impact? Yes ☐ No ☒ Not sure ☐

Describe how could this proposal impact on Sexual orientation (cover civil partnership)

No specific impacts are identified.

Is there a negative impact? Yes ☐ No ☒ Not sure ☐

Describe how could this proposal impact on Age

A number of the proposed allocations for funding are for improvement of children's play areas, which will have a positive impact on the quality of facilities available for children.

Is there a negative impact? Yes ☐ No ☒ Not sure ☐

Describe how could this proposal impact on Religious belief?

No specific impacts are identified.

Is there a negative impact? Yes ☐ No ☒ Not sure ☐

Make a Decision

Tick which applies

- | | | |
|---|----------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1. No negative impact identified | Go to sign off | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 2. Negative impact identified but there is a justifiable reason
<input type="checkbox"/>
You must give due regard or weight but this does not necessarily mean that the equality duty overrides other clearly conflicting statutory duties that you must comply with.
Reason | | |
| 3. Negative impact identified or uncertain
<input type="checkbox"/>
What action will you take to eliminate or reduce the impact? Set out your actions and timescale? | | |

How will you monitor for adverse impact in the future?

Individual projects will need to ensure that appropriate access for all is taken into account in each scheme. It is noted that some schemes will not receive funding from this allocation. Unfunded projects may receive future funding.

Signed (completing officer)	Mark Worringham	Date: 10 th February 2022
Signed (Lead Officer)	Mark Worringham	Date: 10 th February 2022

APPENDIX 2: SUMMARY OF PROJECT ASSESSMENT FOR EACH NOMINATED PROJECT

Ref	Title	Funds sought	CIL Regs	Deliv.	Financ	Consltn	Strategic	Growth	Conclusion
Abbey 01	Improvements to Victoria Recreation Ground	£231,000	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	A deliverable project in 2022-23 that would meet most of the set criteria.
Abbey 02	Traffic Calming - Russell Street/Baker Street Junction Area	More than £100,000	✓	✓*	?	✓	✓	✓	Requires further investigation to understand delivery timescales and costs
Abbey 03	Piper Tiles and Tapestries	Up to £100,000	?	?	?	X	?	?	Concerns over compliance with CIL Regulations. Compliance with some criteria dependent on whether location is within a public area, and further investigation of timescales and cost of delivery is required. Recommend investigation of alternative funding sources.
Abbey 04	New Street Lights in town centre streets lacking adequate lighting	£20,000	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	A deliverable project in 2022-23 that would meet all of the set criteria.
Abbey 05	Housing of waste bins on Anstey Road	Not known	?	?	?	✓	?	X	Not enough information at this stage, requires further investigation by officers. Project subject to ongoing investigation.
Abbey 06	High Street Heritage Action Zone	£170,000	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	A deliverable project that would meet most of the set criteria and allows an existing project to proceed.
Caversham 01	Hills Meadow skate park	£70,000	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	A deliverable project in 2022-23 that would meet most of the set criteria.
Caversham 02	Improved walking and cycling infrastructure on roads throughout Caversham	No specific funds	✓	?	?	✓	✓	?	Further work would be required to identify a deliverable scheme.
Church 01	John Rabson's Recreation Ground skate park	£255,000	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	A deliverable project likely in 2022-23 that would meet most of the set criteria.
Church 02	Northcourt Avenue speed reduction	£200,000	✓	✓*	?	✓	✓	?	A deliverable project that would meet most of the set criteria. Development and delivery will very likely continue into 2023-24 but full funding from 2022-23 will enable uninterrupted development and delivery of the scheme.
Katesgrove 01	Waterloo Meadows playground and BMX track	Replacement of playground - £200,000; Resurfacing of BMX track - £60,000	✓	?	?	✓	✓	✓	Project that would meet most of the set criteria, but deliverability depends on the results of ongoing work. Playground considered potentially deliverable, but further work required on BMX track. Project subject to ongoing investigation.
Minster 01	Robert Hewett Recreation Ground	£100,000	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	A deliverable project that would meet all of the set criteria.
Minster 02	Traffic calming measures on Shaw Road and Boston Avenue	£50,000	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	A deliverable project in 2022-23 that would meet all of the set criteria.

Ref	Title	Funds sought	CIL Regs	Deliv.	Financi	Consltn	Strategic	Growth	Conclusion
Minster 03	Sheep's Lane staggered barriers	£2,500 - 3,000	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	Would meet most of the set criteria but requires further information regarding deliverability. Project subject to ongoing investigation.
Norcot 01	Moriston Close playground	£20,000	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	A deliverable project in 2022-23 that would meet most of the set criteria.
Norcot & Battle 01	20 is plenty zone on streets around Oxford Road	£200,000 as initial scheme	✓	✓*	?	✓	✓	✓	A £200k allocation would deliver a section of the wider desirable zone and would meet most of the set criteria. Development and delivery need to take place across both 2022-23 and 2023-24, but full funding from the outset will enable uninterrupted development and delivery of the scheme.
Park 01	Anti-idling signage in Park	£2,400 estimated	✓	?	?	✓	✓	?	Likely to be deliverable during 2022-23, but not clear that this would be effective and represent value for money, and may raise expectations of enforcement without any regime in place.
Park 02	Palmer Park Victorian heritage toilet block	Not known	✓	✗	?	✓	✓	?	Requires further information on deliverability and cost, but it is not recommended that this is a suitable project given the provision of facilities elsewhere in the park.
Park 03	Palmer Park Green Gym equipment	£75,000	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	A deliverable project in 2022-23 that would meet most of the set criteria.
Park 04	Holmes Road traffic calming plug	Estimated at £65,000	✓	✗	?	✓	✓	✗	There are feasibility concerns around this proposal in terms of the access challenges that it would create.
Park 05	Low Traffic Neighbourhood for RG1	Requires further work to understand cost	✓	✗	?	✓	✓	✗	Not enough is known about this scheme to conclude that funds should be allocated at this point. There are feasibility concerns around this proposal in terms of the access challenges that it would create. Further discussion to consider scope and feasibility required.
Redlands 01	Electric vehicle charging in Redlands	£2,870 per charge point	✓	✗	?	✓	✓	✓	A project that would meet most of the set criteria but requires means to meet revenue costs and may best be delivered as part of a Borough wide strategy
Redlands 02	Hexham Road Community Centre facelift	£15,000 for community centre/ general clean-up. Not known for play replacement	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Community centre is a deliverable project in 2022-23 that would meet most of the set criteria, but costs of play equipment to be determined and not considered to be required at this stage.
Redlands 03	Cintra Park cricket	£40,000	✓	✓*	✓	✓	✓	✓	A deliverable project that would meet all of the set criteria, although delivery will be beyond 2022-23.
Redlands 04	Lower Mount green space	£32,500	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	A deliverable project in 2022-23 that would meet all of the set criteria.

Ref	Title	Funds sought	CIL Regs	Deliv.	Financi	Consltn	Strategic	Growth	Conclusion
Redlands 05	Elmhurst Road planter crossing	£115,000	✓	✓*	?	✓	✓	✓	Recommend that alternative crossing/speed calming measures be considered, with development and delivery spanning 2022-23 & 2023-24.
Redlands 06	Upper Redlands Road pedestrian crossing	£60,000	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	A deliverable project that would meet all of the set criteria.
Southcote 01	Improvements to Southcote Linear Park, Brunel Road	£13,000	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	A deliverable project in 2022-23 that would meet most of the set criteria.
Southcote 02	New Bus shelter for Coronation Square westbound	£8,000	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	A deliverable project in 2022-23 that would meet most of the set criteria.
Southcote 03	Lighting improvements at Coronation Square	£25,000	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	A deliverable project in 2022-23 that would meet most of the set criteria.
Southcote 04	Replacement/refurbishment of Ashampstead Road barrier and beautification of area	£30,000	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	A deliverable project in 2022-23 that would meet most of the set criteria.
Southcote, Minster & Battle 01	Refurbishment and lighting for railway footbridges in western Reading	£70,000 (lighting only)	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Lighting represents a deliverable project in 2022-23 that would meet most of the set criteria. Difficulties with deliverability of repainting.
Whitley 01	Improvements to South Whitley Park	£170,000	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	Project that would meet most of the set criteria, with delivery likely in 2022-23 subject to drainage feasibility study. Project subject to ongoing investigation.
Whitley 02	Gateway area pedestrian crossings	£80,000 (Imperial Way); £70,000 (Basingstoke Rd)	✓	✓*	?	✓	✓	✓	Option to allocate funding to one, or both crossing proposals. Development and delivery likely to span 2022-23 & 2023-24.
Whitley 03	Gateway area landscaping	Not identified	✓	?	?	✓	✓	✓	Deliverability and costs not known, and would rely partly on private landowner
Whitley 04	Play area at Whitley Wood Recreation Ground	Not identified	✓	?	?	✓	✓	✓	Options for improving leisure facilities on site are subject to ongoing discussions. Project subject to ongoing investigation.
Whitley 05	Lulworth Road communal area improvements	£110,000	✓	✓*	?	✓	✓	✓	Deliverability and costs for moving bins requires further investigation, although there is likely to be a deliverable solution. Project subject to ongoing investigation.
Whitley 06	Whitley Wood Recreation Ground pavilion refurbishment	£100,000	✓	✓*	?	✓	✓	✓	A scheme which fulfils most of the set criteria and supplements existing funds, although delivery timescales are awaiting securing remaining funds.
Borough wide 01	Pedestrian Defined Urban Pocket Gardens	£75,000	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	A deliverable project in 2022-23 that would meet most of the set criteria.
Borough wide 02	Pedestrian dropped kerb facilities (with tactile pavers)	£15,000 per ward	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	A deliverable project in 2022-23 that would meet most of the set criteria.

Ref	Title	Funds sought	CIL Regs	Deliv.	Financi	Consltn	Strategic	Growth	Conclusion
Borough wide 03	Graffiti removal	£35,000	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	A deliverable project in 2022-23 that would meet most of the set criteria.
Borough wide 04	Provision of pedestrian handrails to flights of steps on the public highway	£15,000 per ward	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	A deliverable project in 2022-23 that would meet most of the set criteria.

APPENDIX 3: PROJECT ASSESSMENT FORMS FOR EACH NOMINATED PROJECT

Abbey 01			
Project Title	Improvements to Victoria Recreation Ground		
Nominee	Councillor Page on behalf of Abbey councillors	Ward	Abbey
Project Officer	Carolyn Jenkins	Department	Parks
Project Description	To provide improved and upgraded play equipment in an important area at Great Knollys Street close to new flat developments (e.g. former Wickes site; Battle Street site; Weldale Street site) and associated improvements to the recreation ground. The proposal is to replace the entire playground in a new location, closer to the Academy on Great Knollys Street. There was Playbuilder funded investment in 2009, but older kit was installed in 2002 and now requires complete replacement. Other associated improvements may also be required.		
Project Funds requested	£231,000		
Project conclusion	A deliverable project in 2022-23 that would meet most of the set criteria.		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	Deliverable within 2022-23 depending on planning
Risks	Likely need for planning permission
Resources	Requires legal support
External dependencies	No particular issues identified

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds requested	£231,000
Capital Funding - <i>Additional funds required</i>	Total cost of project expected to be £275,000. £44,000 already available from Section 106 funds. Also funds identified for recreation ground as part of Essential Playground Refurbishment Works 2022/23.
Revenue considerations	Normal scheduled inspections and maintenance, from normal revenue budget
Value for Money	Provides value for money and supplements existing funds.
Comment	Exceeds guideline figure of £100,000 in CIL Protocol

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
-------------------	---------

Play areas and public open spaces	Ranked 1 st priority overall in Reading and 6 th priority in Abbey ward in consultation
-----------------------------------	---

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2021-22	Not specifically referenced
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Delivers “ <i>Open space improvements / small scale leisure</i> ”. Supports “ <i>the provision, improvement, replacement, operation or maintenance of local facilities and/or infrastructure</i> ”
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Delivers “ <i>play requirements</i> ” as outlined in IDP as part of Local Plan
Other	Health and Wellbeing Strategy 2021-2030, Open Spaces Strategy

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Comment
High level of development in ward - Abbey ward contains 43.9% of all Reading’s new homes completed during 2016-21 or with outstanding planning permission. Play facilities represent vital infrastructure to support residential development.

Additional information

Officer Comment	No further comment
-----------------	--------------------

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Meets CIL Regulations • Deliverable in 2022-23 subject to planning permission if required • £231,000 sought • Accords well with priorities identified through consultation • Accords with strategic priorities • Meets infrastructure needs from high level of growth in area 	<div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>?</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div>
--	---

[Return to summary table](#)

Abbey 02			
Project Title	Traffic Calming - Russell Street/Baker Street Junction Area		
Nominee	Councillor Page on behalf of Abbey councillors	Ward	Abbey
Project Officer	James Penman	Department	Transport
Project Description	Introduction of further traffic calming measures along Russell Street north and south and possible strengthening of speed humps along Baker Street.		
Project Funds requested	More than £100,000		
Project conclusion	Requires further investigation to understand delivery timescales and costs		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	Considered to be a deliverable scheme, but officers recommend further discussion to consider detailed scope so that this can be investigated and cost estimates refined. Delivery timescales therefore to be confirmed.
Risks	Challenges involved in scoping the scheme out further (see 'additional comments' at the end of form)
Resources	Legal support required for TROs
External dependencies	See 'additional comments' at the end of form

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds requested	More than £100,000
Capital Funding - <i>Additional funds required</i>	No additional funds identified at this stage.
Revenue considerations	Possible revenue requirements, may need to be funded from Highways Maintenance budget
Value for Money	Requires further assessment.
Comment	Exceeds guideline figure of £100,000 in CIL Protocol

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
Highways, transport and travel	Ranked 4 th priority overall in Reading and 3 rd priority in Abbey ward in consultation

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2021-22	Not specifically referenced
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Delivers “ <i>Local highway improvement projects</i> ”. Supports “ <i>the provision, improvement, replacement, operation or maintenance of local facilities and/or infrastructure</i> ”
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Delivers “ <i>Network management, junction improvements and road safety</i> ” as outlined in IDP as part of Local Plan
Other	Local Transport Plan

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Comment
High level of development in ward - Abbey ward contains 43.9% of all Reading’s new homes completed during 2016-21 or with outstanding planning permission. Improvements to road safety represent vital infrastructure to support residential development.

Additional information

Officer Comment	<p>There is a deliverable scheme, but officers recommend further discussion to consider detailed scope so that this can be investigated and cost estimates refined.</p> <p>These streets are 30mph, so the addition of physical traffic calming (humps/cushions) requires the installation of illuminated warning signs, which will be significant cost elements for delivery and add to revenue costs thereafter. Consideration could be given to creating a wider area 20mph zone, although this will likely increase overall costs it may be a preferable and appropriate option for the area.</p> <p>Russell Street is a bus route, so speed cushions are recommended over humps. Baker Street has a good provision of speed humps that are located broadly within the recommended spacings. With on-street parking for most of its length (on at least one side), there will be challenges in identifying suitable locations for chicanes without a reduction in on-street parking.</p> <p>Speed humps/cushions and ‘priority flow’ chicanes require statutory consultation. There could be objection to these features as they will create deceleration/acceleration areas. Temporary parking restrictions would inevitably be required for installation and road closures may also be necessary. It is estimated that the advertising and implementation of speed cushions and regulatory signing for Russell Street alone will be £75k.</p>
-----------------	---

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Meets CIL Regulations Likely deliverable but further discussions needed on scope. More than £100,000 sought, dependent on further investigation Accords reasonably with priorities identified through consultation Accords with strategic priorities Meets infrastructure needs from high level of growth in area 	<p>✓</p> <p>✓*</p> <p>?</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p>
--	--

[Return to summary table](#)

Abbey 03			
Project Title	Piper Tiles and Tapestries		
Nominee	Councillor Page on behalf of Abbey councillors	Ward	Abbey
Project Officer	Glyn Bethell	Department	Facilities Management
Project Description	To undertake the remounting of the Piper Tiles previously mounted in the former Council Chamber displaying the Reading Coat of Arms for display on an internal wall to be identified but, perhaps, the new Civic Offices; or railway station. For the Jubilee Year and hopefully City Status. The two tapestries were previously hung in the Kennet Room and are now in storage and were commissioned in 1976 by the Borough Council from John Piper.		
Project Funds requested	Up to £100,000		
Project conclusion	Concerns over compliance with CIL Regulations. Compliance with some criteria dependent on whether location is within a public area, and further investigation of timescales and cost of delivery is required. Recommend investigation of alternative funding sources.		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Not clear that this meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend. Further information would be required on location.

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	Not known.
Risks	Further consideration required before risks fully known
Resources	To be confirmed
External dependencies	Depends on location. Display on land not owned by the Council would require involvement of landowner (e.g. Network Rail for station).

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds requested	To be advised but up to £100,000 to allow for specialist work, repairs in necessary and protective coverings and cost of mounting.
Capital Funding - <i>Additional funds required</i>	No additional funds identified at this stage.
Revenue considerations	Not known
Value for Money	Requires further assessment.
Comment	Within guideline figure of £100,000 in CIL Protocol

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
Heritage and cultural provision	Ranked 9 th priority overall in Reading and 9 th priority in Abbey ward in consultation

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2021-22	Not specifically referenced
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Delivers “ <i>Community improvements</i> ” if located in an area visible to the public. Not clear that it meets criteria in CIL Protocol
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Not specifically referenced

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Comment
High level of development in ward - Abbey ward contains 43.9% of all Reading’s new homes completed during 2016-21 or with outstanding planning permission. Improvements to public realm represents important infrastructure to support residential development, but this is dependent on display within public realm, and it is not clear whether this can be achieved.

Additional information

Officer Comment	Strong support from Reading Civic Society. Some investigative work needs to be done to confirm if either the tiles or tapestries can be mounted as suggested, as it was decided on the move to the Civic that there was no suitable location. Additionally externally locating may degrade the media.
-----------------	---

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Not clear that it meets CIL Regulations • Deliverability requires further investigation • Up to £100,000 sought, dependent on further investigation • Does not accord with priorities identified through consultation • Relationship with strategic priorities dependent on whether located within public realm • Relationship with growth in area dependent on whether located within public realm 	<p>?</p> <p>?</p> <p>?</p> <p>X</p> <p>?</p> <p>?</p>
--	---

[Return to summary table](#)

Abbey 04			
Project Title	New Street Lights in town centre streets lacking adequate lighting		
Nominee	Councillor Page on behalf of Abbey councillors	Ward	Abbey
Project Officer	Sam Shean	Department	Highways
Project Description	Installation of streetlighting in local areas where tree lined roads obscure lighting to pavements/ footways to improve lighting levels.		
Project Funds requested	£20,000		
Project conclusion	A deliverable project in 2022-23 that would meet all of the set criteria.		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	Deliverable within 2022-23
Risks	None identified
Resources	No additional resource needs identified. Manageable within existing street lighting contract.
External dependencies	No particular issues identified

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds requested	£20,000
Capital Funding - <i>Additional funds required</i>	Could be additional funding available through Safer Streets - Looking to do a walk about in and around Oxford Road area in New Year.
Revenue considerations	Ongoing energy costs to be included within Revenue budgets
Value for Money	Provides value for money
Comment	Within guideline figure of £100,000 in CIL Protocol

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
Highways, transport and travel	Ranked 4 th priority overall in Reading and 3 rd priority in Abbey ward in consultation

General environmental enhancements	Ranked 5 th priority overall in Reading and 2 nd priority in Abbey ward in consultation
------------------------------------	---

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2021-22	Not specifically referenced
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Delivers “ <i>Local highway improvement projects</i> ”. Supports “ <i>the provision, improvement, replacement, operation or maintenance of local facilities and/or infrastructure</i> ”
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Delivers “ <i>Network management, junction improvements and road safety</i> ” as outlined in IDP as part of Local Plan
Other	Local Transport Plan

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Comment
High level of development in ward - Abbey ward contains 43.9% of all Reading’s new homes completed during 2016-21 or with outstanding planning permission. Improvements to safety represent vital infrastructure to support residential development.

Additional information

Officer Comment	There are some residential roads that are lit by the IDR High Mast Lightning Columns, however, tree canopies shade / block light from reaching the pavement making it dark for pedestrians to use at night. The scheme is to infill lighting columns to remove the dark areas. Support from Oxford Road Safer Neighbourhood Forum
-----------------	--

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Meets CIL Regulations • Deliverable in 2022-23 • £20,000 sought • Accords reasonably with priorities identified through consultation • Accords with strategic priorities • Meets infrastructure needs from high level of growth in area 	<div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div>
--	---

[Return to summary table](#)

Abbey 05			
Project Title	Housing of waste bins on Anstey Road		
Nominee	Councillor Page on behalf of Abbey councillors	Ward	Abbey
Project Officer	TBC	Department	TBC
Project Description	The provision of three wooden or metal housing to cover communal, on-street waste bins on Anstey Road		
Project Funds requested	Not known at this stage		
Project conclusion	Not enough information at this stage, requires further investigation by officers. Project subject to ongoing investigation.		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Not clear that meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend, as involves provision of facilities to existing homes.

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	Not known at this stage, requires further assessment
Risks	None identified at this stage
Resources	Not known at this stage
External dependencies	Not known at this stage

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds requested	Not known at this stage
Capital Funding - <i>Additional funds required</i>	No additional funds identified
Revenue considerations	None identified
Value for Money	Requires further information to assess
Comment	Likely to be within guideline figure of £100,000 in CIL Protocol

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
General environmental enhancements	Ranked 5 th priority overall in Reading and 2 nd priority in Abbey ward in consultation

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2021-22	Not specifically referenced
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Delivers “ <i>Community improvements</i> ”. Supports “ <i>the provision, improvement, replacement, operation or maintenance of local facilities and/or infrastructure</i> ”
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Not specifically referenced

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Comment
High level of development in ward - Abbey ward contains 43.9% of all Reading’s new homes completed during 2016-21 or with outstanding planning permission. Project will provide facilities to existing residents not affected by growth

Additional information

Officer Comment	No further comment
-----------------	--------------------

Conclusion

• Not clear that it meets CIL Regulations	?
• Deliverability not known	?
• Funds sought not known	?
• Accords reasonably with priorities identified through consultation	✓
• Limited relevance to strategic priorities	?
• Does not meet infrastructure needs from high level of growth in area	X

[Return to summary table](#)

Abbey 06 <i>Also Battle, Katesgrove and Minster</i>			
Project Title	High Street Heritage Action Zone		
Nominee	Officer	Ward	Abbey, Battle, Katesgrove, Minster
Project Officer	Christelle Beaupoux	Department	Leisure and Culture
Project Description	<p>Further allocation to the ongoing Reading High Street Heritage Action Zone (HSHAZ) to make up the match funding gap for 2022/23.</p> <p>Reading HSHAZ is funded by Historic England and Reading Borough Council and runs from September 2020 until March 2024.</p> <p>The programme's goal is to make the high street a more attractive, engaging and vibrant place for people to live, work and spend time. Reading's project funding is aimed at the retail areas of three conservation area Castle Hill/Russell Street/Oxford Road, St Mary's Butts/Castle Street, and Market Place/London Street. The Oxford Road character area is the top priority for the funding within the Reading Heritage Action Zone. The impact of the coronavirus is far reaching and has already had major effects on high streets, including local businesses and their communities. But the HSHAZ programme should continue to play a positive role in the recovery of Reading's high streets.</p>		
Project Funds requested	£170,000		
Project conclusion	A deliverable project that would meet most of the set criteria and allows an existing project to proceed.		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	Deliverable within 2022-23. Whole project to last until end of March 2024. Working to an existing project plan agreed by Policy Committee in June 2020.
Risks	This is an existing ongoing project.
Resources	No additional resource needs identified, covered by existing project plan and relevant internal partners already engaged.
External dependencies	Part funded by Historic England. Working with landowners and external partners in the local area.

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds requested	£170,000
Capital Funding - <i>Additional funds required</i>	<p>The project is £1.645 million, with £1.185m of capital expenditure and £460,000 of revenue expenditure.</p> <p>The project has grant funding of £837,000 from Historic England, of which £460,000 will be used for revenue expenditure to ensure the project does not put an</p>

	<p>additional budget pressure on RBC revenue budget. This leaves £377,000 of the HE grant available towards the capital expenditure.</p> <p>The remaining capital expenditure of £808,000 needs to be funded from developer contributions. £425,000 of this was already allocated in 2018 and 2021. This leaves £383,000 capital funding over the lifetime of the project to be found. Section 106 unlikely to be available, meaning that CIL will be required. The funds sought cover expected capital spend to the end of 2022-23.</p>
Revenue considerations	None
Value for Money	Provides value for money and allows continuation of an existing project
Comment	Exceeds guideline figure of £100,000 in CIL Protocol

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
Heritage and cultural provision	Ranked 9 th priority overall in Reading and 9 th priority in Abbey ward in consultation
General environmental enhancements	Ranked 5 th priority overall in Reading and 2 nd priority in Abbey ward in consultation

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2021-22	<p>Building on our cultural heritage to enhance our tourist industry, creating an amazing place for people to enjoy.</p> <p>This project is part of the major delivery projects mentioned in the Corporate plan.</p>
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	<p>Delivers “<i>Community improvements</i>”.</p> <p>Supports “<i>the provision, improvement, replacement, operation or maintenance of local facilities and/or infrastructure</i>” and “<i>May enable other funds that would not otherwise be available or offer a financial return on investment, e.g. needed to match or draw grant funding</i>”</p>
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Delivers “ <i>Town Centre Access and Public Realm Enhancements</i> ” as outlined in IDP as part of Local Plan

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Comment
<p>High level of development in area - Abbey, Battle, Katesgrove and Minster wards together contain 58% of all Reading’s new homes completed during 2016-21 or with outstanding planning permission.</p> <p>Improvements to the local environment and cultural provision represents important infrastructure to support residential development.</p>

Additional information

Officer Comment	<p>Without CIL funds to cover the RBC match funding budget the project will not be able to deliver the agreed objectives set with RBC and Historic England for this major project.</p> <p>See Policy Committee report of June 2020 for more detail on project.</p>
-----------------	--

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Meets CIL Regulations Deliverable in 2022-23 £170,000 sought Accords reasonably with priorities identified through consultation Accords with strategic priorities 	<p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> <p>?</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p>
---	--

- | | |
|--|---|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Meets infrastructure needs from high level of growth in area | ✓ |
|--|---|



[Return to summary table](#)

Caversham 01			
Project Title	Hills Meadow skate park		
Nominee	Officer	Ward	Caversham
Project Officer	Carolyn Jenkins	Department	Parks
Project Description	Replace the existing skate park with a like for like facility (steel frame and surfaces).		
Project Funds requested	£70,000		
Project conclusion	A deliverable project in 2022-23 that would meet most of the set criteria.		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	Deliverable within 2022-23
Risks	None identified
Resources	No additional resource needs identified
External dependencies	No particular issues identified

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds requested	£70,000
Capital Funding - <i>Additional funds required</i>	No additional funds required
Revenue considerations	Will need regular inspection and repair from existing Children's Playground Revenue budget
Value for Money	Provides value for money
Comment	Within guideline figure of £100,000 in CIL Protocol

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
Play areas and public open spaces	Ranked 1 st priority overall in Reading and 1 st priority in Caversham ward in consultation

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
--------------------	---------

Corporate Plan 2021-22	Not specifically referenced
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Delivers “ <i>Open space improvements / small scale leisure</i> ”. Supports “ <i>the provision, improvement, replacement, operation or maintenance of local facilities and/or infrastructure</i> ”
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Delivers “ <i>Thames Parks Plan</i> ” and “ <i>Open Spaces Strategy</i> ” as outlined in IDP as part of Local Plan
Other	Health and Wellbeing Strategy 2021-30, Open Spaces Strategy

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Comment
Low level of development in ward - Caversham ward contains 1.4% of all Reading’s new homes completed during 2016-21 or with outstanding planning permission. However, proposal is close to boundary with Abbey ward where high level of development is taking place. Leisure and recreation facilities represent vital infrastructure to support residential development.

Additional information

Officer Comment	Panels are detaching from the base creating a small change in level, which is a H&S risk for wheeled play. The defects are currently being monitored, but, at some point, the gaps/changes in level will fail the safety standards, and the facility will have to be closed until it can be repaired. This is a well-used and popular facility, in a central location.
-----------------	--

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Meets CIL Regulations Deliverable in 2022-23 £70,000 sought Accords well with priorities identified through consultation Accords with strategic priorities Limited infrastructure needs arising directly from recent/planned growth in immediate area 	<div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>?</div>
--	---

[Return to summary table](#)

Caversham 02			
Project Title	Improved walking and cycling infrastructure on roads throughout Caversham		
Nominee	Councillor Barnett-Ward on behalf of Caversham ward councillors	Ward	Caversham
Project Officer	Sam Shean, James Penman	Department	Highways
Project Description	<p>As we do not have an indication of the level of funding available to Caversham or detailed costs of proposed schemes, we are not proposing a specific scheme. Instead we ask that the ward be allocated an amount of money that can credibly deliver some mitigations against the impact of increased traffic on the ward along with officer advice on what can be delivered within that budget. We would then consult with residents on that basis.</p> <p>Suggested schemes that have been requested by residents in the past that we would like the panel to bear in mind when determining allocations are in the next section. The list below is not exhaustive but every proposal on it has been requested by multiple residents and concerns a road / junction identified as important for walking and cycling on the LCWIP.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Junction of Henley Road, Prospect Road, Peppard Road & Westfield Road This major traffic junction has no pedestrian crossing or dedicated cycling facilities. Needed improvements range from introducing a pedestrian phase to the lights to a restructure of the junction to provide dedicated space for cyclists.• Briants Avenue Pedestrian crossing. This busy road has no pedestrian crossing facilities. There is no credible alternative pedestrian route with crossing facilities for pedestrians crossing the road to access nearby facilities.• Gosbrook Road (East) Conversion of the traffic islands to full crossings / provision of further crossings. This is a busy road and residents report being stranded on the traffic islands waiting for a gap in the traffic. This is especially risky for parents with pushchairs and/or small children.• Church Street / Bridge Street Introduction of a pedestrian crossing phase / physical improvements. There is currently no pedestrian phase at this major junction, which is a key route for HGVs going into and out of Reading and pedestrians and cyclists from and to Caversham Heights and the town centre / West Reading. Introducing a pedestrian phase would improve pedestrian safety. There is also no dedicated cycle infrastructure for cyclists wishing to travel over the bridge but not go into Caversham centre. Cyclists heading to Caversham heights either have to take a long detour via the centre or share cramped road space over the bridge with motor vehicles. Some cyclists therefore cycle on the western pavement to get through this junction without bringing them into conflict with motor vehicles. This creates risk for pedestrians. Cycling infrastructure at this junction, particularly for those wishing to go West, would enable safe cycling and protect pedestrians on the pavement.• Church Street Pedestrian crossing. There is no pedestrian crossing facility for people accessing the popular destination of Caversham Court Gardens. This is a major route into and out of Reading with a high volume of HGVs. Pedestrians cross unprotected on a wide, curving stretch of road.• Nire Road Link The plans for the Amersham Road Estate included a route from the eastern end of the estate to the Henley Road. Provision of a walking and cycling route in this location this facility would enable residents to access the primary school and Henley Road shops and bus stop without increasing congestion by driving.		
Project Funds requested	No specific funds		

Project conclusion	Further work would be required to identify a deliverable scheme.
--------------------	--

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Depends on specific proposals but likely to meet CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	Not known at this stage
Risks	Not known at this stage
Resources	Not known at this stage
External dependencies	Not known at this stage

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds requested	Various, depending on specific projects
Capital Funding - <i>Additional funds required</i>	Not known at this stage
Revenue considerations	Not known at this stage
Value for Money	Not known at this stage
Comment	No further comment

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
Highways, transport and travel	Ranked 4 th priority overall in Reading and 7 th priority in Caversham ward in consultation

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2021-22	Not specifically referenced
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Delivers “ <i>Local highway improvement projects</i> ”. Supports “ <i>the provision, improvement, replacement, operation or maintenance of local facilities and/or infrastructure</i> ”
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Delivers “ <i>Walking strategy</i> ” and “ <i>Cycling Strategy</i> ” as outlined in IDP as part of Local Plan
Other	Local Transport Plan

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Comment

Low level of development in ward - Caversham ward contains 1.4% of all Reading's new homes completed during 2016-21 or with outstanding planning permission.
Action travel provision represents vital infrastructure to support residential development.

Additional information

Officer Comment	<p>There are some good ambitions for enhancements that could be made in Caversham, with requests for zebra crossings, improved links, junction alterations and a widescale 20mph proposal to name a few. These will vary considerably in terms of likely cost and complexity (e.g. £60k for a typical zebra crossing, in excess of £200K for signalised crossing replacement, in excess of £400k for delivering the robust area 20mph proposal).</p> <p>It would be beneficial for officers and members to discuss and agree the priority/ies so that a refined scope can be put together with feasibility and estimated costs for the priority scheme(s) in a future nomination.</p> <p>In the interim, there are other boroughwide nominations that could provide benefits in Caversham, particularly for walking. These include nominations for improvements to uncontrolled crossings and the addition of handrails where there are significant level differences/steps.</p>
-----------------	--

Conclusion

• Meets CIL Regulations	✓
• A specific scheme would need to be identified for deliverability to be considered	?
• Dependent on a specific scheme being identified	?
• Accords reasonably with priorities identified through consultation	✓
• Accords with strategic priorities	✓
• Limited infrastructure needs arising directly from recent/planned growth in immediate area	?

[Return to summary table](#)

Church 01			
Project Title	John Rabson’s Recreation Ground skate park		
Nominee	Officer	Ward	Church
Project Officer	Carolyn Jenkins	Department	Parks
Project Description	To install a new high-quality concrete skate park facility adjacent to the ball courts.		
Project Funds requested	£255,000		
Project conclusion	A deliverable project likely in 2022-23 that would meet most of the set criteria.		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	Deliverable within 2022-23 subject to planning application and resolving GLL concerns
Risks	Need for planning permission, could be submitted early 2022. GLL has raised an objection to the proposed location behind the goal unit of the southernmost ballcourt. Officers will arrange a site meeting with GLL and the designer to find a suitable orientation of the facility that will meet GLL's concerns.
Resources	No additional resource needs identified
External dependencies	No particular issues identified other than above

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds requested	£255,000
Capital Funding - <i>Additional funds required</i>	£95,000 was allocated to this scheme in 2021, however this was a decision taken at Policy Committee that required further investigation. A proposed high-quality facility has been costed at £350,000 based on budget from specialist contractor, meaning that an additional £255,000 would be required.
Revenue considerations	Concrete skate park facilities such as this have a minimal maintenance requirement and thus minimal revenue spend will be required. From Children's Playground Revenue budget.
Value for Money	Provides value for money and supplements funds already allocated.
Comment	Exceed guideline figure of £100,000 in CIL Protocol.

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
-------------------	---------

Play areas and public open spaces	Ranked 1 st priority overall in Reading and 3 rd priority in Church ward in consultation
-----------------------------------	--

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2021-22	Not specifically referenced
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Delivers “ <i>Open space improvements / small scale leisure</i> ”. Supports “ <i>the provision, improvement, replacement, operation or maintenance of local facilities and/or infrastructure</i> ”
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Delivers “ <i>John Rabson Recreation Ground and The Cowsey</i> ”, as outlined in IDP as part of Local Plan
Other	Health and Wellbeing Strategy 2021-30, Open Spaces Strategy

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Comment
Minimal level of development in ward - Church ward contains 0.2% of all Reading’s new homes completed during 2016-21 or with outstanding planning permission. However, location is adjacent to boundary with Whitley ward where high level of development is taking place. Leisure and recreation facilities represent vital infrastructure to support residential development.

Additional information

Officer Comment	No further comment
-----------------	--------------------

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Meets CIL Regulations • Deliverable in 2022-23 subject to planning permission • £255,000 sought • Accords well with priorities identified through consultation • Accords with strategic priorities • Meets infrastructure needs from reasonable level of growth in area 	<div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>?</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div>
--	---

[Return to summary table](#)

Church 02			
Project Title	Northcourt Avenue speed reduction		
Nominee	Councillor Pearce and Councillor McEwan	Ward	Church
Project Officer	James Penman	Department	Transport
Project Description	Finding a suitable solution to resident concerns regarding speeding on Northcourt Avenue		
Project Funds requested	£200,000		
Project conclusion	A deliverable project that would meet most of the set criteria. Development and delivery will very likely continue into 2023-24 but full funding from 2022-23 will enable uninterrupted development and delivery of the scheme.		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	Deliverable late in 2022-23 or early in 2023-24
Risks	See 'Additional information' at the end of this form
Resources	See 'Additional information' at the end of this form
External dependencies	See 'Additional information' at the end of this form

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds requested	£200,000 based on combined length of Northcourt Avenue and Wellington Road of 1200m
Capital Funding - <i>Additional funds required</i>	None identified.
Revenue considerations	None identified at this stage.
Value for Money	Provides value for money.
Comment	Exceeds guideline figure of £100,000 in CIL Protocol

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
Highways, transport and travel	Ranked 4 th priority overall in Reading and 6 th priority in Church ward in consultation

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2021-22	Not specifically referenced
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Delivers “ <i>Local highway improvement projects</i> ”. Supports “ <i>the provision, improvement, replacement, operation or maintenance of local facilities and/or infrastructure</i> ”
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Delivers “ <i>Network management, junction improvements and road safety</i> ” as outlined in IDP as part of Local Plan
Other	Local Transport Plan

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Comment
Minimal level of development in ward - Church ward contains 0.2% of all Reading’s new homes completed during 2016-21 or with outstanding planning permission. Improvements to road safety represent vital infrastructure to support residential development.

Additional information

Officer Comment	<p>From the information provided it appears that a 20mph zone restriction with speed humps and cushions, roundels and repeater signs is preferable. Officers recommend as a minimum, that the zone includes Northcourt Avenue and Wellington Avenue. Expansion to Ennerdale Road and the streets beyond would create a more cohesive area, but Northcourt and Wellington Avenues are already over 1200m in length, leading to an estimated cost of £200k. The necessary traffic calming features alone are estimated at £150k of this total, but as the area is currently 30mph, there will need to be a new TRO for the lower limit, comprehensive signing and lining to add to this, over a considerable length of Highway.</p> <p>The 20mph restriction and each set of cushions/road hump would require statutory consultation, so pose a risk of objection. Considering the scale of the area, it is estimated that a full funding allocation for April 2022 will lead to potential delivery in late FY2022-23 to early FY2023-24.</p>
-----------------	---

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Meets CIL Regulations Likely to be deliverable in late 2022-23 or early 2023-24 £200,000 sought Accords reasonably with priorities identified through consultation Accords with strategic priorities Limited infrastructure needs arising directly from recent/planned growth in immediate area 	<p>✓</p> <p>✓*</p> <p>?</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> <p>?</p>
--	--

[Return to summary table](#)

Katesgrove 01			
Project Title	Waterloo Meadows playground and BMX track		
Nominee	Councillor Challenger Officer	Ward	Katesgrove
Project Officer	Carolyn Jenkins	Department	Parks
Project Description	1. Replace the existing playground 2. Resurface the BMX track.		
Project Funds requested	Replacement of playground - £200,000; Resurfacing of BMX track - £60,000		
Project conclusion	Project that would meet most of the set criteria, but deliverability depends on the results of ongoing work. Playground considered potentially deliverable, but further work required on BMX track. Project subject to ongoing investigation.		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	Dependent on the results of the contamination and ground survey. If there is no significant contamination, the project to replace the playground is deliverable in 2022-23. However, the BMX track requires further consideration to include considering a more permanent solution and this should be considered at a future point.
Risks	Dependent on the results of the contamination and ground survey
Resources	See above. Legal support may be required.
External dependencies	No particular issues identified at this stage, although see above

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds requested	£260,000 (Replacement of playground - £200,000 (excluding decontamination costs); Resurfacing of BMX track - £60,000)
Capital Funding - Additional funds required	£95,000 already allocated from 15% CIL during 2021. £60,000 of this has been allocated to refreshment of the MUGA. The remaining CIL allocation will be used for the following purposes: (i) to carry out a geological assessment of the playground area to ascertain why it is subsiding and whether there is hazardous waste in the mound (ii) to replace the zip wire (iii) to install new signage. The £310,000 requested is for additional improvements. Decontamination costs, if required, are not included in the above requested funds. Planning application 201880 has a potential S106 contribution of £12,000 for the Tank and/or Waterloo Meadows recreation improvement - application permitted subject to Legal agreement.

Revenue considerations	The playground will need regular inspection and repair as will the BMX track surface (existing Parks maintenance budgets/existing Children's Playground Revenue budget)
Value for Money	Provides value for money.
Comment	Exceeds guideline figure of £100,000 in CIL Protocol

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
Play areas and public open spaces	Ranked 1 st priority overall in Reading and 5 th priority in Katesgrove ward in consultation

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2021-22	Not specifically referenced
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Delivers <i>"Open space improvements / small scale leisure"</i> . Supports <i>"the provision, improvement, replacement, operation or maintenance of local facilities and/or infrastructure"</i>
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Delivers <i>"play requirements"</i> and <i>"open spaces strategy"</i> as outlined in IDP as part of Local Plan
Other	Health and Wellbeing Strategy 2021-30, Open Spaces Strategy

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Comment
Medium level of development in ward - Katesgrove ward contains 6.8% of all Reading's new homes completed during 2016-21 or with outstanding planning permission. Leisure facilities and useable open spaces represent vital infrastructure to support residential development.

Additional information

Officer Comment	A meeting was held with ward members on 20 October to scope future improvements, at which it was agreed to progress with delivering refreshment of the MUGA under previous CIL nomination. An options paper was prepared to scope and cost potential future improvements and that paper forms the basis of this nomination.
-----------------	---

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Meets CIL Regulations Deliverability dependent on results of contamination and ground surveys £200,000 (playground only) or £260,000 (with BMX track) sought Accords well with priorities identified through consultation Accords with strategic priorities Meets infrastructure needs from reasonable level of growth in area 	<div>✓</div> <div>?</div> <div>?</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div>
---	---

[Return to summary table](#)

Minster 01			
Project Title	Robert Hewett Recreation Ground		
Nominee	Minster ward councillors Officer	Ward	Minster
Project Officer	Carolyn Jenkins	Department	Parks
Project Description	Upgrade of play equipment		
Project Funds requested	£100,000		
Project conclusion	A deliverable project in 2022-23 that would meet all of the set criteria.		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	Deliverable within 2022-23
Risks	None identified
Resources	Legal support required
External dependencies	No particular issues identified

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds requested	£100,000 (see 'Additional information' at the end of this form)
Capital Funding - <i>Additional funds required</i>	No additional funds required
Revenue considerations	The playground will need regular inspection and repair from existing Parks maintenance budgets/Children's Playground Revenue Budget
Value for Money	Provides value for money.
Comment	Within guideline figure of £100,000 in CIL Protocol. Suggestion that this would be a good use of part of the £30,000 underspend on the Dover Street scheme allocated 15% CIL funds in 2021, which is within the same ward.

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
Play areas and public open spaces	Ranked 1 st priority overall in Reading and 1 st priority in Minster ward in consultation

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2021-22	Not specifically referenced
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Delivers “Open space improvements / small scale leisure”. Supports “the provision, improvement, replacement, operation or maintenance of local facilities and/or infrastructure”
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Delivers “play requirements” as outlined in IDP as part of Local Plan
Other	Health and Wellbeing Strategy 2021-30, Open Spaces Strategy, Play Strategy

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Comment
Medium level of development in ward - Minster ward contains 3.6% of all Reading’s new homes completed during 2016-21 or with outstanding planning permission. Also adjacent to boundary with Abbey ward where high level of development is taking place. Play facilities represent vital infrastructure to support residential development.

Additional information

Officer Comment	Robert Hewett Recreation Ground is about the most difficult site that the Council owns in terms of access: it is on a bend, which restricts visibility for loading and unloading, on the busy Tilehurst Road, with a wall and park railings. There is no vehicle access; i.e., pedestrian access only. The playground is at the bottom of the site, with steeply sloping sides, accessed by an indirect path. It is surrounded by large lime trees, so neither equipment nor play items can be craned into the site. Every item cleared and every item brought into the site must be done by hand, from vehicles parked some distance from the entrance. This increases by a significant factor the cost of any work done on the site. In addition to access issues, there are problems with safety surfacing because of accumulated leaf litter: the safety surfacing is currently carpet, which has deteriorated and gets slippery with debris. A very large proportion of the budget estimate is therefore simply clearance, which has to be ferried out by pedestrian transport, and then the importation of a lot of material to create a base for ‘proper’ safety surfacing. Only once that is done, can a very small proportion of the money will be spent on equipment. The tarmac paths are also eroded and need to be remade; without a proper overhaul of the surfacing (safety and pathworks), there is little point in making a large investment in equipment. Most of the £100K identified is for improved access and safety surfacing, and for the additional cost involved in ferrying all items and materials in and out by wheelbarrow.
-----------------	---

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Meets CIL Regulations• Deliverable in 2022-23 subject to planning permission if required• £100,000 sought• Accords well with priorities identified through consultation• Accords with strategic priorities• Meets infrastructure needs from reasonable level of growth in area	<div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div>
---	---

[Return to summary table](#)

Minster 02			
Project Title	Traffic calming measures on Shaw Road and Boston Avenue		
Nominee	Minster councillors	Ward	Minster
Project Officer	James Penman	Department	Transport
Project Description	Installation of speed humps on Shaw Road and Boston Avenue. Various Councillor Enquiries and past consultations with residents in this area have flagged up rat-running and speeding in these roads. Alternative proposals have not gained enough support, road humps seem the next logical response to alleviate the problems here.		
Project Funds requested	£50,000		
Project conclusion	A deliverable project within 2022-23 that would meet all of the set criteria.		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	High likelihood of delivery in 2022-23 if funds secured April 2022
Risks	Humps will require statutory consultation, which could generate objection through concern about deceleration/acceleration and associated noise risks.
Resources	Temporary parking restrictions and road closures will be likely requirements for delivery. TRO required, requiring legal support.
External dependencies	None identified at this stage.

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds requested	£50,000 to deliver a robust range of measures within this existing 20mph zone - total length is 445m.
Capital Funding - Additional funds required	None identified.
Revenue considerations	None identified at this stage.
Value for Money	Provides value for money.
Comment	Within guideline figure of £100,000 in CIL Protocol

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
-------------------	---------

Highways, transport and travel	Ranked 4 th priority overall in Reading and 3 rd priority in Minster ward in consultation
--------------------------------	---

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2021-22	Not specifically referenced
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Delivers “ <i>Local highway improvement projects</i> ”. Supports “ <i>the provision, improvement, replacement, operation or maintenance of local facilities and/or infrastructure</i> ”
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Delivers “ <i>Network management, junction improvements and road safety</i> ” as outlined in IDP as part of Local Plan

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Comment
Medium level of development in ward - Minster ward contains 3.6% of all Reading’s new homes completed during 2016-21 or with outstanding planning permission. Improvements to road safety represent vital infrastructure to support residential development.

Additional information

Officer Comment	No further comment
-----------------	--------------------

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Meets CIL Regulations • Likely to be deliverable in 2022-23 • £50,000 sought • Accords reasonably with priorities identified through consultation • Accords with strategic priorities • Meets infrastructure needs from reasonable level of growth in area 	<div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div>
---	---

[Return to summary table](#)

Minster 03			
Project Title	Sheep’s Lane staggered barriers		
Nominee	Minster councillors	Ward	Minster
Project Officer	Sam Shean	Department	Highways
Project Description	Sheep’s Lane has been the focus of ASB/criminal behaviour for some time. Scooters and motorbikes speeding is a real concern so placing staggered barriers will alleviate this problem.		
Project Funds requested	£2,500 - £3,000 based on provision elsewhere		
Project conclusion	Would meet most of the set criteria but requires further information regarding deliverability. Project subject to ongoing investigation.		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	High likelihood of delivery in 2022-23 if funds secured April 2022
Risks	Not known at this stage.
Resources	Safer Streets is also doing some work here but would welcome some barriers that could slow down any bikes/motorbikes using the lane - the two would complement.
External dependencies	None identified at this stage.

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds requested	£2,500 - £3,000 based on staggered barriers provided at the junction of Coley Avenue and walkway to shops on Wensley Road (including 20 sq m of making good tarmac)
Capital Funding - <i>Additional funds required</i>	Safer Streets could potentially extend to cover this cost
Revenue considerations	Ongoing costs of maintenance
Value for Money	Provides value for money.
Comment	Within guideline figure of £100,000 in CIL Protocol

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
Highways, transport and travel	Ranked 4 th priority overall in Reading and 3 rd priority in Minster ward in consultation

General environmental enhancements	Ranked 5 th priority overall in Reading and 4 th priority in Minster ward in consultation
------------------------------------	---

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2021-22	Not specifically referenced
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Delivers “ <i>Local highway improvement projects</i> ”. Supports “ <i>the provision, improvement, replacement, operation or maintenance of local facilities and/or infrastructure</i> ”
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Delivers “ <i>Network management, junction improvements and road safety</i> ” as outlined in IDP as part of Local Plan

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Comment
Medium level of development in ward - Minster ward contains 3.6% of all Reading’s new homes completed during 2016-21 or with outstanding planning permission. Improvements to road safety represent vital infrastructure to support residential development.

Additional information

Officer Comment	No further comment
-----------------	--------------------

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Meets CIL Regulations • Deliverability requires further investigation • £2,500 - £3,000 sought • Accords reasonably with priorities identified through consultation • Accords with strategic priorities • Meets infrastructure needs from reasonable level of growth in area 	<div>✓</div> <div>?</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div>
---	---

[Return to summary table](#)

Norcot 01			
Project Title	Moriston Close playground		
Nominee	Officer	Ward	Norcot
Project Officer	Carolyn Jenkins	Department	Parks
Project Description	Replace the existing safety surfacing		
Project Funds requested	£20,000		
Project conclusion	A deliverable project in 2022-23 that would meet most of the set criteria.		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	Deliverable within 2022-23
Risks	None identified
Resources	No additional resource needs identified at this stage
External dependencies	No particular issues identified at this stage

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds requested	£20,000
Capital Funding - <i>Additional funds required</i>	£30,000 already allocated from 15% CIL during 2021. This delivers the play equipment, but the additional £20,000 would be required to replace the safety surfacing, to make a total cost of whole project of £50,000.
Revenue considerations	Playground requires regular inspection and repair to be covered by existing Children's Playground Revenue Budget.
Value for Money	Provides value for money.
Comment	Within guideline figure of £100,000 in CIL Protocol

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
Play areas and public open spaces	Ranked 1 st priority overall in Reading and 1 st priority in Norcot ward in consultation

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
--------------------	---------

Corporate Plan 2021-22	Not specifically referenced
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Delivers “ <i>Open space improvements / small scale leisure</i> ”. Supports “ <i>the provision, improvement, replacement, operation or maintenance of local facilities and/or infrastructure</i> ”
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Delivers “ <i>play requirements</i> ” and “ <i>open spaces strategy</i> ” as outlined in IDP as part of Local Plan
Other	Health and Wellbeing Strategy 2021-30, Open Spaces Strategy

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Comment
Low level of development in ward - Norcot ward contains 2.3% of all Reading’s new homes completed during 2016-21 or with outstanding planning permission. Leisure facilities and useable open spaces represent vital infrastructure to support residential development.

Additional information

Officer Comment	Replacement of the loosefill safety surfacing with rubber crumb will improve access for children and their carers with mobility problems, as well as improving hygiene by making the playground less attractive to cats, and less likely to conceal needles and other drugs paraphernalia.
-----------------	--

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Meets CIL Regulations • Deliverable within 2022-23 • £20,000 sought, to complement funds already allocated • Accords well with priorities identified through consultation • Accords with strategic priorities • Limited infrastructure needs arising directly from recent/planned growth in immediate area 	<div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>?</div>
---	---

[Return to summary table](#)

Norcot & Battle 01			
Project Title	20 is plenty zone on streets around Oxford Road		
Nominee	Councillor Lovelock on behalf of Norcot and Battle councillors	Ward	Norcot and Battle
Project Officer	James Penman	Department	Transport
Project Description	Introduction of a 20mph zone in residential side streets off Oxford Road. Traffic Management Sub Committee approved the inclusion of this project on its regular 'Requests for Traffic Management Measures' report at its meeting in September 2021, for investigation and development subject to funding. This followed a petition raised by ward Councillors, where they had defined the requested zone area also. A very high-level estimate of £300k+ expected costs was made by officers at the time.		
Project Funds requested	£200,000 as initial scheme		
Project conclusion	A £200k allocation would deliver a section of the wider desirable zone and would meet most of the set criteria. Development and delivery need to take place across both 2022-23 and 2023-24, but full funding from the outset will enable uninterrupted development and delivery of the scheme.		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	Delivery of an initial scheme expected to be in 2023-24
Risks	See 'Additional information' at the end of this form
Resources	See 'Additional information' at the end of this form
External dependencies	See 'Additional information' at the end of this form

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds requested	£200,000 would deliver part of this zone, which would need to be a cohesive and compliant zone in its own right including a range of robust physical traffic calming features. Full cost across the whole suggested zone would be in excess of £300,000, as a high-level estimate.
Capital Funding - Additional funds required	None identified at this stage.
Revenue considerations	Not known at this stage.
Value for Money	Provides value for money.

Comment	Exceeds guideline figure of £100,000 in CIL Protocol
---------	--

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
Highways, transport and travel	Ranked 4 th priority overall in Reading, 5 th priority in Battle ward and 3 rd priority in Norcot ward in consultation

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2021-22	Not specifically referenced
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Delivers “ <i>Local highway improvement projects</i> ”. Supports “ <i>the provision, improvement, replacement, operation or maintenance of local facilities and/or infrastructure</i> ”
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Delivers “ <i>Network management, junction improvements and road safety</i> ” as outlined in IDP as part of Local Plan

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Comment
Medium level of development in wards - Battle and Norcot wards combined contain 6% of all Reading’s new homes completed during 2016-21 or with outstanding planning permission. Improvements to road safety represent vital infrastructure to support residential development.

Additional information

Officer Comment	<p>A comprehensive report was agreed at Traffic Management Sub-Committee in September 2021, in response to the original petition for this nomination. Officers acknowledged the zone area that had been proposed (no amendments have been proposed by officers) and have started conducting some initial speed surveys in this area (as agreed) to inform the next steps.</p> <p>The report made clear that the estimated cost of implementing a robust scheme across the suggested zone would exceed £300k. A £200k contribution would therefore deliver part of the zone, including a number of physical measures. This will need to be a cohesive and robustly implemented zone in its own right to meet regulations, acknowledging aspirations for future expansion as funding permits.</p> <p>The location of this initial area will need further discussion so that investigations can be conducted and the scheme designed ready for consultation. The scheme will require statutory consultation, which will include each individual vertical speed calming measure. Delivery will likely necessitate temporary parking restrictions and potential road closures and it is expected that the allocation of this funding for 2022-23 will enable development and delivery across 2022-23 and 2023-24, due to the discussion and development time that will be necessary.</p>
-----------------	---

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Meets CIL Regulations An initial scheme is deliverable, although this will be in 2023-24 £200,000 sought (initial scheme only) Accords reasonably with priorities identified through consultation Accords with strategic priorities Meets infrastructure needs from reasonable level of growth in area 	<p>✓</p> <p>✓*</p> <p>?</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p>
---	--

[Return to summary table](#)

Park 01			
Project Title	Anti-idling signage in Park		
Nominee	Park councillors	Ward	Park
Project Officer	Ross Jarvis James Penman	Department	Regulatory Services Transport
Project Description	Install anti-idling signage outside of schools and other locations where school pick up/drop off traffic idles in Park Ward and across the Borough.		
Project Funds requested	£2,400 (estimate)		
Project conclusion	Likely to be deliverable during 2022-23, but not clear that this would be effective and represent value for money, and may raise expectations of enforcement without any regime in place.		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	Likely deliverable within 2022-23
Risks	Risks raising expectations of enforcement where there is no enforcement regime in place and enforcement of idling is challenging.
Resources	None identified at this stage.
External dependencies	None identified at this stage.

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds requested	Estimated at £600 per school, based on two signs. Four schools in Park ward, total cost of approximately £2,400.
Capital Funding - <i>Additional funds required</i>	None identified.
Revenue considerations	No revenue costs identified
Value for Money	Officers question how much of an issue idling around schools is, from experience. Combined with the desire to encourage active travel and current non-enforcement, officers question the impact that these signs would have.
Comment	No further comment

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
-------------------	---------

Highways, transport and travel	Ranked 4 th priority overall in Reading and 6 th priority in Park ward in consultation
Climate change and renewable energy	Ranked 2 nd priority overall in Reading and 4 th priority in Park ward in consultation

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2021-22	Contributes towards a carbon neutral Reading by 2030
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Delivers “ <i>Air quality</i> ”. Supports “ <i>the provision, improvement, replacement, operation or maintenance of local facilities and/or infrastructure</i> ”
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Not specifically referenced

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Comment
Low level of development in ward - Park ward contains 1.2% of all Reading’s new homes completed during 2016-21 or with outstanding planning permission. Measures to improve air quality represent important infrastructure to support residential development, but question marks over likely effectiveness of proposal.

Additional information

Officer Comment	<p>The Council has undertaken some previous limited campaigns and has a poster-style (non-regulatory) sign design that should be used for consistency. As these are not regulatory signs it is recommended that no additional street furniture (e.g. posts) be added to specifically accommodate them, as these would cause additional obstruction on the busy footways. They must not interfere with/distract from regulatory signs.</p> <p>It should be noted that there is currently no enforcement regime in place and enforcement of idling is challenging - the signs may create an expectation of enforcement. Officers question how much of an issue idling around schools is, from experience. Combined with the desire to encourage active travel and current non-enforcement, officers question the impact that these signs would have.</p> <p>An estimated cost for creation and installation of signs would be £600 per school, based on two signs.</p>
-----------------	--

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Meets CIL Regulations Deliverable, but raises risks of expectation of enforcement £2,400 estimated, but provides questionable value for money Accords reasonably with priorities identified through consultation Accords with strategic priorities Limited infrastructure needs arising directly from recent/planned growth in immediate area 	<p>✓</p> <p>?</p> <p>?</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> <p>?</p>
--	---

[Return to summary table](#)

Park 02			
Project Title	Palmer Park Victorian heritage toilet block		
Nominee	Park councillors	Ward	Park
Project Officer	Glyn Bethell	Department	Facilities Management
Project Description	Palmer Park Toilet Block (one of three associated buildings in the park alongside the pavilion and lodge) has been closed some time ago by the Council and left to deteriorate. It requires renovation and re-opening as a well-managed toilet block in a destination park.		
Project Funds requested	Not known		
Project conclusion	Requires further information on deliverability and cost, but it is not recommended that this is a suitable project given the provision of facilities elsewhere in the park.		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	Would not be delivered during 2022-23, due to condition of existing building. Timescales would need further consideration.
Risks	Not known at this stage.
Resources	Not known at this stage.
External dependencies	Not known at this stage.

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds requested	Not known
Capital Funding - <i>Additional funds required</i>	Not known at this stage.
Revenue considerations	Revenue spend cleaning, maintenance, reactive maintenance, opening and closing costs, extra utility water and electric. No available budget as this is to be used on other assets.
Value for Money	Not known at this stage. Not considered to offer value for money with the provision of toilets in the park as part of current developments.
Comment	No further comment

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
-------------------	---------

Play areas and public open spaces	Ranked 1 st priority overall in Reading and 5 th priority in Park ward in consultation
Heritage and cultural provision	Ranked 9 th priority overall in Reading and 9 th priority in Park ward in consultation

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2021-22	Not specifically identified
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Delivers <i>“Open space improvements/small scale leisure”</i> . Supports <i>“the provision, improvement, replacement, operation or maintenance of local facilities and/or infrastructure”</i>
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Delivers <i>“Open spaces strategy”</i> as outlined in IDP as part of Local Plan

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Comment
Low level of development in ward - Park ward contains 1.2% of all Reading's new homes completed during 2016-21 or with outstanding planning permission. Measures to improve open spaces represent important infrastructure to support residential development.

Additional information

Officer Comment	Toilet facilities are to be provided within the park as part of the current expansion. No revenue budget available for cleaning and maintenance etc.
-----------------	--

Conclusion

• Meets CIL Regulations	✓
• Deliverability not known at this stage, but not considered a suitable location	X
• Costs not known at this stage	?
• Accords reasonably with priorities identified through consultation	✓
• Accords with strategic priorities	✓
• Limited infrastructure needs arising directly from recent/planned growth in immediate area	?

[Return to summary table](#)

Park 03			
Project Title	Palmer Park Green Gym equipment		
Nominee	Park ward councillors	Ward	Park
Project Officer	Carolyn Jenkins	Department	Parks
Project Description	A suite of outdoor gym equipment located in Palmer Park. Other similar installations have already happened at Cintra Park and Long Barn Lane.		
Project Funds requested	£75,000		
Project conclusion	A deliverable project in 2022-23 that would meet most of the set criteria.		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	Deliverable within 2022-23
Risks	None identified
Resources	No additional resource needs identified
External dependencies	No particular issues identified

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds requested	£75,000 for equipment, safety surfacing and link paths
Capital Funding - <i>Additional funds required</i>	No additional funds required
Revenue considerations	Regular inspection and repair, to be funded from Children's Playground Revenue Budget.
Value for Money	Provides value for money.
Comment	Within guideline figure of £100,000 in CIL Protocol.

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
Play areas and public open spaces	Ranked 1 st priority overall in Reading and 5 th priority in Park ward in consultation

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
--------------------	---------

Corporate Plan 2021-22	Not specifically referenced
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Delivers “ <i>Open space improvements / small scale leisure</i> ”. Supports “ <i>the provision, improvement, replacement, operation or maintenance of local facilities and/or infrastructure</i> ”
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Delivers “ <i>play requirements</i> ” and “ <i>Open spaces strategy</i> ” as outlined in IDP as part of Local Plan
Other	Health and Wellbeing Strategy 2021-30, Open Spaces Strategy

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Comment
Low level of development in ward - Park ward contains 1.2% of all Reading’s new homes completed during 2016-21 or with outstanding planning permission. Leisure and recreation facilities represent vital infrastructure to support residential development.

Additional information

Officer Comment	No further comment
-----------------	--------------------

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Meets CIL Regulations Deliverable in 2022-23 £75,000 sought Accords well with priorities identified through consultation Accords with strategic priorities Limited infrastructure needs arising directly from recent/planned growth in immediate area 	<div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>?</div>
--	---

[Return to summary table](#)

Park 04			
Project Title	Holmes Road traffic calming plug		
Nominee	Councillor White	Ward	Park
Project Officer	James Penman	Department	Transport
Project Description	Construct a one- way plug at junction of Wokingham Rd and Holmes Rd to stop traffic except pedal bikes turning into Holmes Rd but allow traffic to turn out onto the Wokingham Rd. This scheme would be similar to the one on Talfourd Ave. The plug could be incorporated into the existing plan for the National Cycling Network to cross this wide end of Holmes Rd.		
Project Funds requested	Estimated at £65,000		
Project conclusion	There are feasibility concerns around this proposal in terms of the access challenges that it would create.		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	Feasibility concerns, no timescales identified.
Risks	This will create access challenges for residents and the rear of the fire station and displacement of traffic onto neighbouring roads (Early Hill Road is a private road, for example), which will likely generate objections. The proposal would require statutory consultation and it is likely that some reduction of on-street parking will be required to accommodate the plug, which will be set back to facilitate turning in the junction (a further feasibility concern).
Resources	Not identified at this stage
External dependencies	It should also be noted that the no-entry restriction will be Police-enforced only for the foreseeable future.

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds requested	£65,000 - this is an estimate for the investigation, design, road safety audits, consultation, creation of the large traffic island, dropped kerbs and illuminated signs - this does not include any 'decorative' features, such as planters.
Capital Funding - <i>Additional funds required</i>	No additional funds identified at this stage.
Revenue considerations	Ongoing maintenance required.
Value for Money	Requires further assessment.

Comment	Within guideline figure of £100,000 in CIL Protocol
---------	---

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
Highways, transport and travel	Ranked 4 th priority overall in Reading and 4 th priority in Park ward in consultation

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2021-22	Not specifically referenced
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Delivers “ <i>Local highway improvement projects</i> ”. Supports “ <i>the provision, improvement, replacement, operation or maintenance of local facilities and/or infrastructure</i> ”
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Delivers “ <i>Network management, junction improvements and road safety</i> ” as outlined in IDP as part of Local Plan
Other	Local Transport Plan

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Comment
Low level of development in ward - Park ward contains 1.2% of all Reading’s new homes completed during 2016-21 or with outstanding planning permission. Improvements to road safety represent vital infrastructure to support residential development, but only where it does not cause impacts elsewhere.

Additional information

Officer Comment	No further comments
-----------------	---------------------

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Meets CIL Regulations Concerns around feasibility £65,000 sought, but further investigation required Accords reasonably with priorities identified through consultation Accords with strategic priorities Considered likely to cause impacts elsewhere that will affect degree to which it meets infrastructure needs 	<p>✓</p> <p>X</p> <p>?</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> <p>X</p>
--	---

[Return to summary table](#)

Park 05 <i>Also Redlands</i>			
Project Title	Low Traffic Neighbourhood for RG1		
Nominee	Councillor White and Councillor J Williams	Ward	Park, Redlands
Project Officer	James Penman	Department	Transport
Project Description	Instituting a traffic neighbourhood in Crescent Road / Hamilton Road / Bulmershe Road / Eastern Ave area		
Project Funds requested	Requires further consideration to understand cost		
Project conclusion	Not enough is known about this scheme to conclude that funds should be allocated at this point. There are feasibility concerns around this proposal in terms of the access challenges that it would create. Further discussion to consider scope and feasibility required.		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	Requires further consideration to understand whether a scheme would be feasible
Risks	See 'Additional comments' at the end of this form
Resources	See 'Additional comments' at the end of this form
External dependencies	See 'Additional comments' at the end of this form

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds requested	Requires further consideration to understand cost
Capital Funding - <i>Additional funds required</i>	Not known at this stage
Revenue considerations	Not known at this stage
Value for Money	Not known at this stage
Comment	No further comment

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
Highways, transport and travel	Ranked 4 th priority overall in Reading, 4 th priority in Park ward and 2 nd priority in Redlands ward in consultation

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2021-22	Assists towards a carbon neutral Reading by 2030
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Delivers “ <i>Local highway improvement projects</i> ” and “ <i>Air quality</i> ”. Supports “ <i>the provision, improvement, replacement, operation or maintenance of local facilities and/or infrastructure</i> ”
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Delivers “ <i>Network management, junction improvements and road safety</i> ” as outlined in IDP as part of Local Plan

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Comment
Low/medium level of development in ward - Park ward contains 1.2% of all Reading’s new homes completed during 2016-21 or with outstanding planning permission. Redlands ward contains 4.1% of all Reading’s new homes completed during 2016-21 or with outstanding planning permission. Improvements to road safety represent vital infrastructure to support residential development, but only where it does not cause other impacts.

Additional information

Officer Comment	<p>The features that typically create the low traffic neighbourhood (i.e. road closures) will be very divisive, creating potentially long diversions for access, creating accessibility difficulties for the limited on-street parking along the street and pose accessibility risks for emergency service vehicles. They will also create traffic displacement onto neighbouring streets. There are feasibility concerns regarding vehicle turning on these streets, should road closures be installed, and it is very likely that some on-street parking will need to be removed to accommodate the features of a scheme.</p> <p>Officers recommend further discussion between members and officers to consider scope, feasibility and enable a high-level concept for cost estimates in a future nomination.</p>
-----------------	---

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Meets CIL Regulations Concerns around feasibility and not in a position to receive funds at this time Funds required not known Accords reasonably with priorities identified through consultation Accords with strategic priorities Impacts of scheme not known in terms of whether it would address infrastructure needs 	<p>✓</p> <p>✗</p> <p>?</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> <p>?</p>
--	---

[Return to summary table](#)

Redlands 01			
Project Title	Electric vehicle charging in Redlands		
Nominee	Councillor McElroy and Councillor Whitham	Ward	Redlands
Project Officer	Ross Jarvis	Department	Regulatory Services
Project Description	There are next to no lamppost charging points in Redlands, this needs to be addressed.		
Project Funds requested	£2,870 per charge point		
Project conclusion	A project that would meet most of the set criteria but requires means to meet revenue costs and may best be delivered as part of a Borough wide strategy		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	Best delivered as part of Borough-wide EV strategy rather than in isolation
Risks	None identified
Resources	Each charge point would need dedicated EV bay to avoid blocking. TRO/emergency TRO required for this.
External dependencies	No particular issues identified

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds requested	£2,870 per charge point
Capital Funding - Additional funds required	ORCS grant currently available to fund 75% of installation.
Revenue considerations	£216 back office costs and £75 service and maintenance cost per annum per charge point (based on 3-year old figures)
Value for Money	Provides value for money
Comment	Within guideline figure of £100,000 in CIL Protocol

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
Highways, transport and travel	Ranked 4 th priority overall in Reading and 2 nd priority in Redlands ward in consultation

Climate change and renewable energy	Ranked 2 nd priority overall in Reading and 1 st priority in Redlands ward in consultation
-------------------------------------	--

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2021-22	Contributes towards a carbon neutral Reading by 2030
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Delivers “ <i>Air quality</i> ”. Supports “ <i>the provision, improvement, replacement, operation or maintenance of local facilities and/or infrastructure</i> ”
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Not specifically referenced

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Comment
Medium level of development in ward - Redlands ward contains 4.1% of all Reading’s new homes completed during 2016-21 or with outstanding planning permission. Provision of EV charging represents important infrastructure to support residential development.

Additional information

Officer Comment	EV strategy will most likely look to bring private funding in to develop boroughwide infrastructure, need to make sure these schemes/approaches are complimentary
-----------------	---

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Meets CIL Regulations • Best delivered as part of Borough-wide strategy • £2,870 sought per charge point, plus ongoing revenue costs required • Accords reasonably with priorities identified through consultation • Accords with strategic priorities • Meets infrastructure needs from reasonable level of growth in area 	<div>✓</div> <div>✗</div> <div>?</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div>
--	---

[Return to summary table](#)

Redlands 02			
Project Title	Hexham Road Community Centre facelift		
Nominee	Councillor McElroy and Councillor Whitham	Ward	Redlands
Project Officer	Jo Anderson	Department	Neighbourhoods
Project Description	Despite being a bit ragged the play area on the Hexham estate is always covered with kids. It would be good to give that playground a facelift. At the same time, the Hexham Road Community Centre could benefit from some aesthetic renewal. Something as simple as a deep clean followed by a lick of paint would make a very big difference.		
Project Funds requested	£15,000 for community centre and general clean-up Not known for play equipment replacement		
Project conclusion	Community centre is a deliverable project in 2022-23 that would meet most of the set criteria, but costs of play equipment to be determined and not considered to be required at this stage.		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	Deliverable within 2022-23
Risks	None identified
Resources	No additional resource needs identified
External dependencies	No particular issues identified

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds requested	£15,000 total. £9,000 for external decorating and signage based on quotes previously received in 2020 allowing for an increase of 20% in equipment costs. Possibility of de-weeding, installing bins and graffiti removal in play area plus some additional works at the community centre would bring the total cost to £15,000. Play equipment replacement not considered to offer value for money at this stage.
Capital Funding - <i>Additional funds required</i>	No additional funds required
Revenue considerations	No issues identified
Value for Money	Facelift of community centre provides value for money. The play equipment was upgraded in 2012/13 by Housing at a cost of £43,000 and would be expected to last another 5 years. there is not much scope to add equipment and the last report

	suggested the play equipment was in a condition. Play equipment not therefore considered to offer value for money.
Comment	Within guideline figure of £100,000 in CIL Protocol (community centre only)

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
Play areas and public open space	Ranked 1 st priority overall in Reading and 6 th priority in Redlands ward in consultation
Community centres and hubs	Ranked 6 th priority overall in Reading and 4 th priority in Redlands ward in consultation

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2021-22	Not specifically referenced
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Delivers “ <i>Community improvements</i> ”. Supports “ <i>the provision, improvement, replacement, operation or maintenance of local facilities and/or infrastructure</i> ”
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Delivers “ <i>play requirements</i> ” as outlined in IDP as part of Local Plan if play equipment provided.

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Comment
Medium level of development in ward - Redlands ward contains 4.1% of all Reading’s new homes completed during 2016-21 or with outstanding planning permission. Provision of community facilities and play areas represent important infrastructure to support residential development.

Additional information

Officer Comment	No further comments
-----------------	---------------------

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Meets CIL Regulations • Deliverable in 2022-23 • £15,000 for community centre and general tidy-up of play area • Accords reasonably with priorities identified through consultation • Accords with strategic priorities • Meets infrastructure needs from reasonable level of growth in area 	<div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div>
---	---

[Return to summary table](#)

Redlands 03			
Project Title	Cintra Park cricket		
Nominee	Councillor McElroy and Councillor Whitham	Ward	Redlands
Project Officer	Stephanie Smith	Department	Leisure
Project Description	Residents have said they would like a better cricket pitch added to the centre of Cintra Park to allow cricket year-round. There is an ongoing discussion to rejuvenate the pavilion in Cintra Park, potentially in collaboration with a community café. This might be a good opportunity to marry up a few initiatives.		
Project Funds requested	£40,000		
Project conclusion	A deliverable project that would meet all of the set criteria, although delivery will be beyond 2022-23.		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	Delivery could begin 2023 at the earliest
Risks	None identified
Resources	No additional resource needs identified
External dependencies	No particular issues identified

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds requested	£40,000
Capital Funding - <i>Additional funds required</i>	No additional funds required
Revenue considerations	Wicket maintenance and pavilion maintenance would be required
Value for Money	Provides value for money.
Comment	Within guideline figure of £100,000 in CIL Protocol

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
-------------------	---------

Play areas and public open space	Ranked 1 st priority overall in Reading and 6 th priority in Redlands ward in consultation
----------------------------------	--

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2021-22	Not specifically referenced
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Delivers “ <i>Open space improvements / small scale leisure</i> ”. Supports “ <i>the provision, improvement, replacement, operation or maintenance of local facilities and/or infrastructure</i> ”
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Delivers “ <i>Open spaces strategy</i> ” as outlined in IDP as part of Local Plan
Other	Accords with Playing Pitches Strategy

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Comment
Medium level of development in ward - Redlands ward contains 4.1% of all Reading’s new homes completed during 2016-21 or with outstanding planning permission. Provision of enhanced sport and recreation represent important infrastructure to support residential development.

Additional information

Officer Comment	In line with Playing Pitch Strategy actions, officers are already liaising with Berkshire Royals Cricket Club and Berkshire Cricket Foundation regarding bringing cricket back to Cintra Park. This would require wicket reinstatement / installation of an artificial wicket, improvement works to the outfield and pavilion repairs. The earliest the wicket could be brought back to use safely would be 2023 due to the works required to the outfield. Funds are required to bring this wicket back into use.
-----------------	--

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Meets CIL Regulations Deliverable from 2023 £13,000 sought Accords with priorities identified through consultation Accords with strategic priorities Meets infrastructure needs from reasonable level of growth in area 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ ✓* ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓
--	---

[Return to summary table](#)

Redlands 04			
Project Title	Lower Mount green space		
Nominee	Councillor McElroy and Councillor Whitham	Ward	Redlands
Project Officer	Sam Shean Carolyn Jenkins	Department	Highways Parks
Project Description	At the south end of Lower Mount is a dead end piece of road, with a small bit of green space between Lower Mount and The Mount. Residents on Lower Mount have expressed interest in tearing up the south end of Lower Mount and replacing it with park, potentially a community garden		
Project Funds requested	£32,500		
Project conclusion	A deliverable project in 2022-23 that would meet all of the set criteria.		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	Deliverable within 2022/23 with on-going watering required in next 2 financial years. No delivery plan agreed.
Risks	None identified
Resources	Legal issues around declassifying the space as public highway. Planning permission required.
External dependencies	Residents have said they would be willing to plant and maintain the gardens. Residents are already interacting with the Council's Parks Team over planting and maintenance of the existing beds.

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds requested	£32,500 - £2,500 for TRO; £10,000 for planting/landscaping; £20,000 for conversion of carriageway to landscaping.
Capital Funding - Additional funds required	No additional funds required
Revenue considerations	Initial on-going watering of new planting £1k for 2 years
Value for Money	Provides value for money.
Comment	Within guideline figure of £100,000 in CIL Protocol

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
Play areas and public open space	Ranked 1 st priority overall in Reading and 6 th priority in Redlands ward in consultation
Natural environment	Ranked 3 rd priority overall in Reading and 3 rd priority in Redlands ward in consultation
General environmental enhancements	Ranked 5 th priority overall in Reading and 8 th priority in Redlands ward in consultation

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2021-22	Making increased investments in green infrastructure
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Delivers “ <i>Open space improvements / small scale leisure</i> ” and “ <i>Community improvements</i> ”. Supports “ <i>the provision, improvement, replacement, operation or maintenance of local facilities and/or infrastructure</i> ”
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Delivers “ <i>Green infrastructure/access to open space</i> ” as outlined in IDP as part of Local Plan

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Comment
Medium level of development in ward - Redlands ward contains 4.1% of all Reading’s new homes completed during 2016-21 or with outstanding planning permission. Green infrastructure represents important infrastructure to support residential development.

Additional information

Officer Comment	No further comment
-----------------	--------------------

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Meets CIL Regulations • Deliverable in 2022-23 • £32,500 sought • Accords with priorities identified through consultation • Accords with strategic priorities • Meets infrastructure needs from reasonable level of growth in area 	<div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div>
---	---

[Return to summary table](#)

Redlands 05			
Project Title	Elmhurst Road planter crossing		
Nominee	Councillor McElroy and Councillor Whitham	Ward	Redlands
Project Officer	James Penman	Department	Transport
Project Description	The University of Reading campus is an important green space for residents in Redlands Ward, much used and loved by dog walkers, families with young children etc. As a wide road with pay and display parking meaning there are fewer parked cars, Elmhurst Road is reported by residents to suffer considerable speeding from motorists. Indeed, in the last year one car was travelling fast enough to roll over in an accident. We would like a pedestrian crossing flanked by planter boxes that narrow the road around the crossing.		
Project Funds requested	£115,000		
Project conclusion	Recommend that alternative crossing/speed calming measures be considered, with development and delivery spanning 2022-23 & 2023-24.		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	Deliverability questionable in form proposed. Alternative project proposed (see 'Additional comments'), with delivery likely to be from 2023-24
Risks	See 'Additional comments'. The zebra crossing, changes to parking restrictions and any vertical speed calming feature will require statutory consultation. Risks of objections due to the deceleration/acceleration that these could cause, the proximity of the crossing to individual properties and of the loss of parking. Temporary parking restrictions and potential road closures required for delivery of scheme.
Resources	Not identified at this stage
External dependencies	See 'additional comments' at the end of form. Residents have said they would be willing to plant and maintain the gardens in the planter boxes.

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds requested	£115,000 - Estimated cost for a typical zebra crossing £60,000. Estimated £55,000 to add speed humps along the road.
Capital Funding - <i>Additional funds required</i>	No additional funds identified at this stage.
Revenue considerations	None identified

Value for Money	Requires further assessment.
Comment	Exceeds guideline figure of £100,000 in CIL Protocol

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
Highways, transport and travel	Ranked 4 th priority overall in Reading and 2 nd priority in Redlands ward in consultation

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2021-22	Not specifically referenced
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Delivers <i>“Local highway improvement projects”</i> . Supports <i>“the provision, improvement, replacement, operation or maintenance of local facilities and/or infrastructure”</i>
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Delivers <i>“Network management, junction improvements and road safety”</i> as outlined in IDP as part of Local Plan
Other	Local Transport Plan

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Comment
Medium level of development in ward - Redlands ward contains 4.1% of all Reading's new homes completed during 2016-21 or with outstanding planning permission. Improvements to road safety represent vital infrastructure to support residential development.

Additional information

Officer Comment	<p>There is a deliverable scheme, but officers recommend an alternative to the proposed. Development and delivery will very likely continue into 2023-24 but full funding from 2022-23 will enable uninterrupted development and delivery of the scheme.</p> <p>The desire line for pedestrians is typically near to junctions, whereas the highest vehicle speeds are typically further away from junctions. It is therefore recommended that consideration is made for considering speed calming separately to the crossing, for example, scoping a scheme that includes traffic calming along the road (already a request that has been added to the TMSC reported list) and situating a crossing (a zebra) where it will be most beneficial to pedestrians.</p> <p>Much of Elmhurst Road is on a bend and is parked on both sides. Members are asked to note that the intervisibility requirements for a zebra crossing will necessitate some reduction of parking. This appears to be less impactful on the relatively straight section toward Upper Redlands Road, but will be very impactful toward the middle of the road. The Redlands/Shinfield Road end poses additional feasibility concerns due to a number of accesses/dropped crossings in this section as well as on-street parking. The crossing is therefore recommended for placement near to Upper Redlands Road, providing a good school link also.</p> <p>It is recommended that the crossing be installed without build-outs and planters, which will otherwise significantly increase costs and create potential feasibility issues. A separate range of speed calming features (e.g. humps) will provide the speed reduction along the street.</p> <p>The zebra crossing, changes to parking restrictions and any vertical speed calming feature will require statutory consultation. Risks of objections due to the deceleration/acceleration that these could cause, the proximity of the</p>
-----------------	--

	<p>crossing to individual properties and of the loss of parking. Temporary parking restrictions and potential road closures required for delivery of scheme.</p> <p>Estimated cost for a typical zebra crossing £60k. Estimate £55k to add speed humps along the road.</p> <p>If the officer recommendation is not agreeable, it is recommended that this proposal be deferred, pending further discussion.</p>
--	---

Conclusion

• Meets CIL Regulations	✓
• May not be deliverable in form suggested, suggest an alternative with delivery from 2023-24	✓*
• More than £100,000 sought, dependent on further investigation	?
• Accords reasonably with priorities identified through consultation	✓
• Accords with strategic priorities	✓
• Meets infrastructure needs from reasonable level of growth in area	✓

[Return to summary table](#)

Redlands 06			
Project Title	Upper Redlands Road pedestrian crossing		
Nominee	Councillor McElroy and Councillor Whitham Member of public	Ward	Redlands
Project Officer	James Penman	Department	Transport
Project Description	<p>It has been long recognised by St Joseph's College, the University of Reading and local residents that there is no safe place to cross Upper Redlands Road between the school gates, University entrance and Alexandra Road to the west. The school and the university raised the issue with the Council a few years ago and have been working hard to achieve progress on this.</p> <p>The scheme was included in Reading Borough Council (RBC)'s 'Traffic Management Measures - CIL Funded Schemes' in September 2018 (item 69) following the public petition and the presentation of a business case to the Traffic Management Sub-Committee.</p> <p>Following a site survey, RBC's Network & Parking Services team produced a concept design for a controlled zebra crossing. This was discussed with the University of Reading and St Joseph's College in September 2020, who both agreed with the proposed design.</p> <p>Prior to the scheme delivery, further work (detailed design and surveys) is required to confirm the scheme</p>		
Project Funds requested	£60,000		
Project conclusion	A deliverable project that would meet all of the set criteria.		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	Considered at this stage that project could be delivered in 2022-23 (if full funding secured upfront), but a project plan would need to be prepared.
Risks	Requires speed surveys, road safety audit and statutory consultation. The initial on-site visit did not highlight any barriers to the scheme deliverability. However, further work is required to confirm this.
Resources	Not identified at this stage
External dependencies	See 'additional comments' at the end of form

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds requested	£60,000

Capital Funding - Additional funds required	£8,500 has been raised in private pledges towards the scheme. However, as many of the costs will be upfront it is recommended that, if this scheme is to be funded, the whole cost of the crossing be provided from CIL.
Revenue considerations	The requirement for maintenance costs (if any) will be confirmed at the detailed scheme design stage.
Value for Money	Provides value for money.
Comment	Within guideline figure of £100,000 in CIL Protocol

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
Highways, transport and travel	Ranked 4 th priority overall in Reading and 2 nd priority in Redlands ward in consultation

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2021-22	Not specifically referenced
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Delivers “Local highway improvement projects”. Supports “the provision, improvement, replacement, operation or maintenance of local facilities and/or infrastructure”
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Delivers “Network management, junction improvements and road safety” as outlined in IDP as part of Local Plan

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Comment
Medium level of development in ward - Redlands ward contains 4.1% of all Reading’s new homes completed during 2016-21 or with outstanding planning permission. Improvements to road safety represent vital infrastructure to support residential development.

Additional information

Officer Comment	<p>A concept scheme has been developed and there has been some engagement with the with the University of Reading and St Josephs College regarding this design, which locates the crossing near to the junction with Alexandra Road.</p> <p>The scheme will require speed surveys, road safety audit and statutory consultation, so will attract costs almost immediately. To avoid delays to project progress, it will be vital to efficiently secure all funding from the outset - this includes any 'commitments'.</p> <p>It is estimated that 'standard' zebra crossings will cost £60k to implement.</p> <p>There is a risk of objection to the statutory consultation, which could compromise deliverability.</p> <p>With full funding being secured from April 2022, it is feasible that the scheme could be delivered in FY2022-23.</p>
-----------------	---

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Meets CIL Regulations Potentially deliverable in 2022-23, dependent on detailed project plan £60,000 sought Accords reasonably with priorities identified through consultation Accords with strategic priorities Meets infrastructure needs from reasonable level of growth in area 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓
--	--

[Return to summary table](#)

Southcote 01			
Project Title	Improvements to Southcote Linear Park, Brunel Road		
Nominee	Councillor Brock on behalf of Southcote councillors	Ward	Southcote
Project Officer	Carolyn Jenkins	Department	Parks
Project Description	The Linear Park access from Brunel Road is in need of some landscape improvements and beautification. The large open space has become a magnet for ASB and some mitigation can be applied through the project. Officer suggestion of installation of a height barrier		
Project Funds requested	£13,000		
Project conclusion	A deliverable project in 2022-23 that would meet most of the set criteria.		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	Deliverable within 2022-23
Risks	None identified
Resources	No additional resource needs identified
External dependencies	No particular issues identified

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds requested	£13,000
Capital Funding - <i>Additional funds required</i>	No additional funds required
Revenue considerations	Requires regular inspection and repair, from existing revenue budget.
Value for Money	Provides value for money.
Comment	Within guideline figure of £100,000 in CIL Protocol

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
Play areas and public open spaces	Ranked 1 st priority overall in Reading and 1 st priority in Southcote ward in consultation

General environmental enhancements	Ranked 5 th priority overall in Reading and 6 th priority in Southcote ward in consultation
------------------------------------	---

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2021-22	Not specifically referenced
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Delivers “ <i>Open space improvements / small scale leisure</i> ”. Supports “ <i>the provision, improvement, replacement, operation or maintenance of local facilities and/or infrastructure</i> ”
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Delivers “ <i>Green Infrastructure/access to open space</i> ” as outlined in IDP as part of Local Plan

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Comment
Low level of development in ward - Southcote ward contains 1.8% of all Reading’s new homes completed during 2016-21 or with outstanding planning permission. High quality open spaces represent vital infrastructure to support residential development.

Additional information

Officer Comment	The site is open mown grass surrounded by trees, with a small car park. Fly tipping is a repeated and severe problem. The installation of a height barrier on Brunel Road between no.s 41 and 43 will prevent unauthorised access by larger vehicles. Seating is inadvisable, because it will attract other forms of ASB. Other forms of antisocial behaviour reported for the site, like motorcycle abuse cannot be readily resolved when car access is required. There is a small car park on the site, and allotment tenants need vehicle access to the allotments site. It is therefore not possible to exclude motorbikes.
-----------------	--

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Meets CIL Regulations Deliverable in 2022-23 £13,000 sought Accords well with priorities identified through consultation Accords with strategic priorities Limited infrastructure needs arising directly from recent/planned growth in immediate area 	<div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>?</div>
--	---

[Return to summary table](#)

Southcote 02			
Project Title	New Bus shelter for Coronation Square westbound		
Nominee	Councillor Brock on behalf of Southcote councillors	Ward	Southcote
Project Officer	Stephen Wise	Department	Transport
Project Description	The westbound (towards Calcot) bus shelter at Coronation Square is of the old Adshel type and in need of upgrade, ideally to one of the new sedum roof models. The shelter is well-used.		
Project Funds requested	£8,000		
Project conclusion	A deliverable project in 2022-23 that would meet most of the set criteria.		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	Deliverable within 2022-23
Risks	None identified
Resources	No additional resource needs identified
External dependencies	No particular issues identified

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds requested	£8,000
Capital Funding - <i>Additional funds required</i>	No additional funds required
Revenue considerations	No issues identified
Value for Money	Provides value for money.
Comment	Within guideline figure of £100,000 in CIL Protocol

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
Highways, transport and travel	Ranked 4 th priority overall in Reading and 3 rd priority in Southcote ward in consultation

General environmental enhancements	Ranked 5 th priority overall in Reading and 6 th priority in Southcote ward in consultation
------------------------------------	---

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2021-22	Not specifically referenced
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Delivers “ <i>Local highway improvement projects</i> ”. Supports “ <i>the provision, improvement, replacement, operation or maintenance of local facilities and/or infrastructure</i> ”
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Delivers “ <i>Public transport and enhancements</i> ” as outlined in IDP as part of Local Plan

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Comment
Low level of development in ward - Southcote ward contains 1.8% of all Reading’s new homes completed during 2016-21 or with outstanding planning permission. Improvements to public transport facilities represent vital infrastructure to support residential development.

Additional information

Officer Comment	Local shelter supplier Externiture can respond quickly to an order for a new bus shelter. This old shelter can be replaced with a new one similar to that installed on the A33 at Kennet Island turn. Project cost includes new green roofed shelter and removal of the old shelter.
-----------------	---

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Meets CIL Regulations • Deliverable in 2022-23 • £8,000 sought • Accords reasonably with priorities identified through consultation • Accords with strategic priorities • Limited infrastructure needs arising directly from recent/planned growth in immediate area 	<div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>?</div>
---	---

[Return to summary table](#)

Southcote 03			
Project Title	Lighting improvements at Coronation Square		
Nominee	Councillor Brock on behalf of Southcote councillors	Ward	Southcote
Project Officer	Sam Shean	Department	Highways
Project Description	Coronation Square suffers from ASB, which may be mitigated by upgrades to the street lighting in the area. Work to include lighting improvements around the flats in the area.		
Project Funds requested	£25,000		
Project conclusion	A deliverable project in 2022-23 that would meet most of the set criteria.		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	Deliverable within 2022-23
Risks	None identified
Resources	No additional resource needs identified
External dependencies	Involvement of local community group

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds requested	£25,000
Capital Funding - <i>Additional funds required</i>	No additional funds required
Revenue considerations	Minor ongoing energy charges and maintenance of streetlighting asset within existing revenue budget
Value for Money	Provides value for money.
Comment	Within guideline figure of £100,000 in CIL Protocol

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
Play areas and public open spaces	Ranked 1 st priority overall in Reading and 1 st priority in Southcote ward in consultation

Highways, transport and travel	Ranked 4 th priority overall in Reading and 3 rd priority in Southcote ward in consultation
General environmental enhancements	Ranked 5 th priority overall in Reading and 6 th priority in Southcote ward in consultation

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2021-22	Not specifically referenced
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Delivers “ <i>Local highway improvement projects</i> ” and “ <i>Open space improvements/small scale leisure</i> ”. Supports “ <i>the provision, improvement, replacement, operation or maintenance of local facilities and/or infrastructure</i> ”
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Not specifically referenced

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Comment
Low level of development in ward - Southcote ward contains 1.8% of all Reading’s new homes completed during 2016-21 or with outstanding planning permission. Improvements to lighting help to improve safety in the local environment for new residents.

Additional information

Officer Comment	No further comments
-----------------	---------------------

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Meets CIL Regulations Deliverable in 2022-23 £25,000 sought Accords well with priorities identified through consultation Accords with strategic priorities Limited infrastructure needs arising directly from recent/planned growth in immediate area 	<div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>?</div>
--	---

[Return to summary table](#)

Southcote 04			
Project Title	Replacement/refurbishment of Ashampstead Road barrier and beautification of area		
Nominee	Councillor Brock on behalf of Southcote councillors	Ward	Southcote
Project Officer	Sam Shean	Department	Highways
Project Description	The road barrier outside Manor Primary School, Ashampstead Road is visually unpleasant and in need of refurbishment or ideally, replacement with a more aesthetic alternative (wooden, perhaps). Moreover, the area is well-used by parents waiting to collect children and some investment in planters, etc.		
Project Funds requested	£30,000		
Project conclusion	A deliverable project in 2022-23 that would meet most of the set criteria.		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	Deliverable within 2022-23
Risks	None identified
Resources	No additional resource needs identified
External dependencies	Local community group and GLOBE

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds requested	£30,000 - refurbishment of barriers £10,000, planters £20,000
Capital Funding - <i>Additional funds required</i>	No additional funds required
Revenue considerations	Minor ongoing watering and maintenance of planters if local community group or Globe unable to take the planters on.
Value for Money	Provides value for money.
Comment	Within guideline figure of £100,000 in CIL Protocol

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
-------------------	---------

Highways, transport and travel	Ranked 4 th priority overall in Reading and 3 rd priority in Southcote ward in consultation
General environmental enhancements	Ranked 5 th priority overall in Reading and 6 th priority in Southcote ward in consultation

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2021-22	Not specifically referenced
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Delivers “ <i>Community improvements</i> ”. Supports “ <i>the provision, improvement, replacement, operation or maintenance of local facilities and/or infrastructure</i> ”
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Not specifically referenced

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Comment
Low level of development in ward - Southcote ward contains 1.8% of all Reading’s new homes completed during 2016-21 or with outstanding planning permission. General environmental enhancements help to improve the public realm for new residents.

Additional information

Officer Comment	No further comments
-----------------	---------------------

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Meets CIL Regulations • Deliverable in 2022-23 • £30,000 sought • Accords with priorities identified through consultation • Accords with strategic priorities • Limited infrastructure needs arising directly from recent/planned growth in immediate area 	<div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>?</div>
---	---

[Return to summary table](#)

Southcote, Minster and Battle 01			
Project Title	Refurbishment and lighting for railway footbridges in western Reading		
Nominee	Councillor Brock on behalf of Southcote councillors	Ward	Battle, Minster, Southcote
Project Officer	Sam Shean	Department	Highways
Project Description	The three railway footbridges (Tilehurst Road and Bath Road x2) are in need of some refurbishment (mostly repainting) and lighting upgrades (n.b. Tilehurst Road requires LED lighting and Bath Road currently has no lighting).		
Project Funds requested	£70,000 - lighting; likely in excess of £200,000 - repainting		
Project conclusion	Lighting represents a deliverable project in 2022-23 that would meet most of the set criteria. Difficulties with deliverability of repainting.		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	The lighting element is deliverable during 2022/23. Repainting element unlikely to be deliverable in 2022/23
Risks	None for lighting. The painting schemes is a risk due to expensive and limited rail possession that will be required from Network Rail to suspend trains during the painting and works will be overnight only.
Resources	No additional resource needs identified
External dependencies	Painting dependent on Network Rail (see above)

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds requested	£70,000 (lighting only) Repainting likely to be in excess of £200,000
Capital Funding - <i>Additional funds required</i>	No additional funds required
Revenue considerations	No issues identified
Value for Money	Provides value for money.
Comment	Within guideline figure of £100,000 in CIL Protocol (lighting only)

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
Highways, transport and travel	Ranked 4 th priority overall in Reading, and 5 th , 3 rd and 3 rd priority in Battle, Minster and Southcote wards respectively in consultation
General environmental enhancements	Ranked 5 th priority overall in Reading and 8 th , 4 th and 6 th priority in Battle, Minster and Southcote wards respectively in consultation

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2021-22	Not specifically referenced
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Delivers “ <i>Local highway improvement projects</i> ”. Supports “ <i>the provision, improvement, replacement, operation or maintenance of local facilities and/or infrastructure</i> ”
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Delivers “ <i>Major repair and improvement projects</i> ” as outlined in IDP as part of Local Plan
Other	Local Transport Plan

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Comment
Medium level of development in wards - Battle ward contains 3.7%, Minster ward contains 3.6% and Southcote ward contains 1.8% of all Reading’s new homes completed during 2016-21 or with outstanding planning permission. Improvements to footbridges enhance active travel for residents of development

Additional information

Officer Comment	The Council owned pedestrian footbridges require a full streetlighting upgrade, including the removal of the old / dated lighting units and installation of vandal resistant LED lighting units including new service connections and cabling. This will not only improve the lighting quality but reduce the energy and carbon footprint at a total cost of £ 70k for the improved lighting of all 3 sites. Painting of structures over railway will require full possession of the railway overnight and be costly ext. £200k (TBC) for all 3 structures.
-----------------	---

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Meets CIL Regulations Deliverable in 2022-23 (lighting only) £70,000 sought (lighting only) Accords reasonably with priorities identified through consultation Accords with strategic priorities Meets infrastructure needs arising from recent/planned growth in immediate area 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓
---	--

[Return to summary table](#)

Whitley 01			
Project Title	Improvements to South Whitley Park		
Nominee	Whitley ward councillors Officer	Ward	Whitley
Project Officer	Carolyn Jenkins	Department	Parks
Project Description	1. To install a land drainage scheme following winter monitoring of water levels 2021/22. 2. To replace the existing ball court		
Project Funds requested	£170,000 (Drainage - £100,000; Ball court - £70,000)		
Project conclusion	Project that would meet most of the set criteria, with delivery likely in 2022-23 subject to drainage feasibility study. Project subject to ongoing investigation.		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	Delivered in 2022/23 subject to the results of the drainage feasibility study.
Risks	Dependent on the results of the drainage feasibility study
Resources	Legal support likely to be required
External dependencies	No particular issues identified at this stage, although see above

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds requested	£170,000 (Drainage - £100,000; Ball court - £70,000)
Capital Funding - Additional funds required	None identified at this stage. £15,000 already allocated from 15% CIL during 2021, which is being used to undertake drainage feasibility and provide new bins.
Revenue considerations	The land drainage scheme will have minimal maintenance revenue implications (existing Parks maintenance budgets). The ball court will require regular inspection and repair (existing Children's Playground Revenue budget).
Value for Money	Likely to provide value for money.
Comment	The drainage figure of £100,000 represents an estimate at this stage and is dependent on drainage feasibility. Exceeds guideline figure of £100,000 in CIL Protocol

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
-------------------	---------

Play areas and public open spaces	Ranked 1 st priority overall in Reading and 1 st priority in Whitley ward in consultation
-----------------------------------	---

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2021-22	Not specifically referenced
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Delivers “ <i>Open space improvements / small scale leisure</i> ”. Supports “ <i>the provision, improvement, replacement, operation or maintenance of local facilities and/or infrastructure</i> ”
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Delivers “ <i>play requirements</i> ” and “ <i>open spaces strategy</i> ” as outlined in IDP as part of Local Plan
Other	Health and Wellbeing Strategy 2021-30, Open Spaces Strategy

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Comment
High level of development in ward - Whitley ward contains 28.4% of all Reading’s new homes completed during 2016-21 or with outstanding planning permission. Leisure facilities and useable open spaces represent vital infrastructure to support residential development.

Additional information

Officer Comment	No further comment
-----------------	--------------------

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Meets CIL Regulations Deliverable in 2022-23 subject to results of drainage feasibility £170,000 sought Accords well with priorities identified through consultation Accords with strategic priorities Meets infrastructure needs from high level of growth in area 	<div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>?</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div>
--	---

[Return to summary table](#)

Whitley 02			
Project Title	Gateway area pedestrian crossings		
Nominee	Whitley councillors	Ward	Whitley
Project Officer	James Penman	Department	Transport
Project Description	The pedestrian crossings between Imperial Way and Basingstoke Rd have already been before TMSC & have been moved forward but this detail should be noted. The crossings are much needed since the massive increase in footfall & development of the recent years.		
Project Funds requested	£80,000 - Imperial Way crossing £70,000 - Basingstoke Road crossing		
Project conclusion	Option to allocate funding to one, or both crossing proposals. Development and delivery likely to span 2022-23 & 2023-24.		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	Further work required to identify delivery timescales. Likely to involve delivery across 2022-23 and 2023-24.
Risks	Requires speed surveys and road safety audit to feed into feasibility
Resources	Not identified at this stage
External dependencies	See 'additional comments' at the end of form

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds requested	£80,000 - Imperial Way crossing £70,000 - Basingstoke Road crossing
Capital Funding - Additional funds required	None identified at this stage
Revenue considerations	The requirement for maintenance costs (if any) will be confirmed at the detailed scheme design stage.
Value for Money	Provides value for money.
Comment	A single crossing would be within guideline figure of £100,000 in CIL Protocol, both crossings in a single project would exceed figure.

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
-------------------	---------

Highways, transport and travel	Ranked 4 th priority overall in Reading and 2 nd priority in Whitley ward in consultation
--------------------------------	---

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2021-22	Not specifically referenced
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Delivers “ <i>Local highway improvement projects</i> ”. Supports “ <i>the provision, improvement, replacement, operation or maintenance of local facilities and/or infrastructure</i> ”
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Delivers “ <i>Network management, junction improvements and road safety</i> ” as outlined in IDP as part of Local Plan
Other	Local Transport Plan

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Comment
High level of development in ward - Whitley ward contains 28.4% of all Reading’s new homes completed during 2016-21 or with outstanding planning permission. Improvements to road safety represent vital infrastructure to support residential development. Proposal directly links to a specific recent major development.

Additional information

Officer Comment	<p>The request for the controlled crossing on Imperial Way has been captured on the TMS reported list of Requests for Traffic Management Measures, pending funding for investigation, design and delivery. While the request suggested traffic signal controlled facilities, officers recommended that zebra crossings will be a significantly lower cost option (for investigation, delivery and ongoing revenue implications) increasing the likelihood for sufficient funding availability. There will be a feasible location for these requested crossings, but this will need to be investigated for feasibility against desire lines and intervisibility, with speed surveys conducted and road safety audit on the concept design(s) when funding is available for these as active projects. It is likely that the Basingstoke Road/Imperial Way roundabout is a desirable crossing location, however, utilising the upgraded informal crossing facilities is likely to make the crossing too close to the roundabout.</p> <p>Considering the width of the roads and the likely requirements to adjust traffic islands and existing footway approaches, a very high-level estimative of costs would be Imperial Way ~£80k and Basingstoke Road ~£70k.</p> <p>Delivery of one, or both of these crossings (depending on the level of funding allocation) is feasible. Development and delivery will very likely continue into 2023-24 but full funding from 2022-23 will enable uninterrupted development and delivery of the scheme.</p>
-----------------	---

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Meets CIL Regulations Deliverability timescales require further investigation Up to £150,000 sought Accords well with priorities identified through consultation Accords with strategic priorities Meets infrastructure needs from high level of growth in area 	<div>✓</div> <div>✓*</div> <div>?</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div>
--	--

[Return to summary table](#)

Whitley 03			
Project Title	Gateway area landscaping		
Nominee	Whitley councillors	Ward	Whitley
Project Officer	Carolyn Jenkins	Department	Parks
Project Description	<p>The area of land on the corner of Imperial Way and Basingstoke Road surrounded by a metal railing is substantial and has been left to overgrow. It's not listed as part of our rewilding scheme.</p> <p>Residents of the Gateway approached us to see if this land could be put to better use, tidied up with some public seating & people friendly access. This would fit well with the pedestrian crossings on Imperial Way / Basingstoke Rd.</p> <p>I also noted in a planning document that some trees were to be planted on this land, but after enquiries by us these were found to have been put somewhere else</p>		
Project Funds requested	Not identified		
Project conclusion	Deliverability and costs not known, and would rely partly on private landowner		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	Not known at this stage
Risks	Not known at this stage
Resources	Not identified at this stage
External dependencies	Land is partially within private ownership, and landowner would need to give permission to enhance the land by landscaping it.

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds requested	Not known
Capital Funding - <i>Additional funds required</i>	None identified at this stage
Revenue considerations	None identified at this stage
Value for Money	Not known at this stage
Comment	No further comment

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
-------------------	---------

Natural environment	Ranked 3 rd priority overall in Reading and 5 th priority in Whitley ward in consultation
---------------------	---

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2021-22	Not specifically referenced
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Delivers “ <i>Open space improvements/small scale leisure</i> ”. Supports “ <i>the provision, improvement, replacement, operation or maintenance of local facilities and/or infrastructure</i> ”
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Delivers “ <i>Green infrastructure/access to open space</i> ” as outlined in IDP as part of Local Plan

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Comment
High level of development in ward - Whitley ward contains 28.4% of all Reading’s new homes completed during 2016-21 or with outstanding planning permission. High quality open spaces represent vital infrastructure to support residential development. Proposal directly links to a specific recent major development.

Additional information

Officer Comment	This land has multiple owners, both private (the new owners of the hotel) and the Council. We are informed by Planning and Highways that neither department is aware of any plans for this land. At present, there is no formal permission from the private sector owner for a community group to landscape this land. The Transport Development Control Manager said that he can see no reason why a community group could not plant on the Council Land.
-----------------	--

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Meets CIL Regulations Deliverability not known but partly dependent on private landowner Costs not known Accords with priorities identified through consultation Accords with strategic priorities Meets infrastructure needs from high level of growth in area 	<div>✓</div> <div>?</div> <div>?</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div>
--	---

[Return to summary table](#)

Whitley 04			
Project Title	Play area at Whitley Wood Recreation Ground		
Nominee	Whitley councillors	Ward	Whitley
Project Officer	Carolyn Jenkins	Department	Parks
Project Description	Residents have asked for a play area for younger children at the Whitley Wood Recreation Ground		
Project Funds requested	Not identified		
Project conclusion	Options for improving leisure facilities on site are subject to ongoing discussions. Project subject to ongoing investigation.		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	Not known at this stage
Risks	Not known at this stage
Resources	Not identified at this stage
External dependencies	None identified at this stage.

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds requested	Requires further investigation but would be expected to cost at least £100,000
Capital Funding - <i>Additional funds required</i>	None identified at this stage
Revenue considerations	None identified at this stage
Value for Money	Not known at this stage
Comment	No further comment

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
Play areas and public open spaces	Ranked 1 st priority overall in Reading and 1 st priority in Whitley ward in consultation

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
--------------------	---------

Corporate Plan 2021-22	Not specifically referenced
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Delivers “ <i>Open space improvements / small scale leisure</i> ”. Supports “ <i>the provision, improvement, replacement, operation or maintenance of local facilities and/or infrastructure</i> ”
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Delivers “ <i>play requirements</i> ” as outlined in IDP as part of Local Plan

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Comment
High level of development in ward - Whitley ward contains 28.4% of all Reading’s new homes completed during 2016-21 or with outstanding planning permission. Play facilities represent vital infrastructure to support residential development.

Additional information

Officer Comment	Whitley Wood Recreation Ground is a sports ground, and there is high and growing demand for football in particular, both formal League football and youth leagues. Officers are discussing with ward councillors opportunities for improving leisure facilities on the site.
-----------------	--

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Meets CIL Regulations • Deliverability not known at this stage • Costs not known • Accords well with priorities identified through consultation • Accords with strategic priorities • Meets infrastructure needs from high level of growth in area 	<div>✓</div> <div>?</div> <div>?</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div>
---	---

[Return to summary table](#)

Whitley 05			
Project Title	Lulworth Road communal area improvements		
Nominee	Whitley councillors	Ward	Whitley
Project Officer	Jo Anderson	Department	Housing and Neighbourhoods
Project Description	Move bin store to the rear of the Lulworth Road circular parking area to another location close by to create substantial useable space, and use the space to site a children’s play area with benches and improved landscaping and tidying up of the immediate vicinity, to make use of the proximity to Christ the King School.		
Project Funds requested	£110,000		
Project conclusion	Deliverability and costs for moving bins requires further investigation, although there is likely to be a deliverable solution. Project subject to ongoing investigation.		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	Not known at this stage
Risks	Not known at this stage
Resources	Not identified at this stage
External dependencies	Not known at this stage, although consultation with residents may be required.

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds requested	£100,000 - costs of levelling ground and installing a small play area Costs of moving waste bins TBC, discussion with Waste colleagues ongoing. Suggest an additional £10,000 to cover potential costs.
Capital Funding - <i>Additional funds required</i>	Ongoing conversations with Housing to understand if there are additional funds available that could enhance the project.
Revenue considerations	Maintenance of play equipment
Value for Money	Not known at this stage
Comment	No further comment

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
-------------------	---------

Play areas and public open spaces	Ranked 1 st priority overall in Reading and 1 st priority in Whitley ward in consultation
General environmental enhancements	Ranked 5 th priority overall in Reading and 3 rd priority in Whitley ward in consultation

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2021-22	Not specifically referenced
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Delivers <i>“Open space improvements/small scale leisure”</i> . Supports <i>“the provision, improvement, replacement, operation or maintenance of local facilities and/or infrastructure”</i>
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Delivers <i>“play requirements”</i> as outlined in IDP as part of Local Plan
Other	Health and Wellbeing Strategy 2021-30

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Comment
High level of development in ward - Whitley ward contains 28.4% of all Reading's new homes completed during 2016-21 or with outstanding planning permission. Play facilities represent vital infrastructure to support residential development.

Additional information

Officer Comment	No further comments.
-----------------	----------------------

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Meets CIL Regulations Delivery timescales not known Over £100,000 sought Accords well with priorities identified through consultation Accords with strategic priorities Meets infrastructure needs from high level of growth in area 	<div>✓</div> <div>✓*</div> <div>?</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div>
---	--

[Return to summary table](#)

Whitley 06			
Project Title	Whitley Wood Recreation Ground pavilion refurbishment		
Nominee	Officer	Ward	Whitley
Project Officer	Stephanie Smith	Department	Leisure
Project Description	<p>In its current state the pavilion is without utilities, requires re-roofing and is an easy target for reoccurring ASB and is currently unusable.</p> <p>Funding is being sought to carry out the refurbishment of the pavilion, to fix the roof and alter the layout to enable the pavilion to be used for community sports and activities.</p> <p>The pavilion is currently leased by local South Reading Football Club. SRFC want to, use the pavilion for Football as well as to provide a social and community hub.</p> <p>The proposed refurbishment includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Lighting and heating replacement (energy efficient)- Open up kitchen / social area- Installation of fire and intruder alarms- Installation of mesh fencing to rear of pavilion (main access for ASB)- Removal of decommissioned public toilets, this area to be transformed to storage (removal of container)- New steel roof, new gutters, new windows (to combat damp issue)		
Project Funds requested	£100,000		
Project conclusion	A scheme which fulfils most of the set criteria and supplements existing funds, although delivery timescales are awaiting securing remaining funds.		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	Plan subject to funding - conversations between RBC, SRFC, Football Foundation and FA are ongoing. Delivery date to be confirmed.
Risks	Spend approval for S106 still required.
Resources	Legal support required in drawing up contracts
External dependencies	Dependent on some external funding (see below). Need to identify contractor.

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds requested	Shortfall funding of £100,000 requested. Full cost of refurbishment is £300,000.
Capital Funding - Additional funds required	S106: no 4186, Worton Grange, £139,372.61 subject to spend approval. SRFC and RBC to secure funding from the Football Foundation - conversations ongoing.

Revenue considerations	Pavilion will continue to be leased to SRFC. Once complete, RBC and leaseholder will work together to ensure the building remains in good condition through the existing maintenance budget or applications to external funding such as grants from the Football Foundation.
Value for Money	Provides value for money.
Comment	No further comment

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
Play areas and public open spaces	Ranked 1 st priority overall in Reading and 1 st priority in Whitley ward in consultation

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2021-22	Not specifically referenced
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Delivers <i>“Open space improvements / small scale leisure”</i> . Supports <i>“the provision, improvement, replacement, operation or maintenance of local facilities and/or infrastructure”</i>
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Delivers <i>“Open spaces strategy”</i> as outlined in IDP as part of Local Plan
Other	Playing Pitch Strategy; Whitley Wood Rec action plan states that there is a ‘need to refurbish or replace existing changing facility’. The Council are increasingly leasing ancillary provision to clubs. The Council recognises the value of clubs managing their own facilities to deliver more than just sporting benefits, for example providing a social community hub. Going forwards, the Council will work with clubs to provide long-term leases for long-term planning.

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Comment
High level of development in ward - Whitley ward contains 28.4% of all Reading’s new homes completed during 2016-21 or with outstanding planning permission. Sports facilities represent vital infrastructure to support residential development.

Additional information

Officer Comment	<p>Whitley Wood Rec Pavilion is currently leased to South Reading FC. The pavilion is used by the club during training and for matches. The pavilion provides toilets, changing facilities and kitchen facilities. SRFC created a social hub at one end of the pavilion but this is now being used as additional storage.</p> <p>The pavilion is showing major signs of wear and tear and has been victim to multiple ASB incidents over the previous years.</p> <p>Refurbishing the pavilion would extend the lifespan of this building, prevent ASB, provide a space which could be sub-let as a community hub and meet the FA standards / regulations.</p> <p>Leisure officers nominated scheme - deliverable if funds can be acquired (DBR and FA application). Latest conversation with Football Association - positively the site is detailed in the Local Football Facility Plan, which highlights that it is has been identified as a potential facility to invest in. Any funding application would need to detail usage and set amount of funding required.</p>
-----------------	---

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Meets CIL Regulations Delivery timescales dependent on securing funding £100,000 sought, complements other funds 	<div>✓</div> <div>✓*</div> <div>?</div>
--	---

• Accords well with priorities identified through consultation	✓
• Accords with strategic priorities	✓
• Meets infrastructure needs from high level of growth in area	✓

[Return to summary table](#)

Boroughwide 01			
Project Title	Pedestrian Defined Urban Pocket Gardens		
Nominee	Officer	Ward	Borough-wide
Project Officer	Sam Shean	Department	Highways
Project Description	To carry out a programme of installation of small defined urban gardens which will allow surface water drainage to discharge into them through a control system to not only water the vegetation / trees, but also filter the water before it is diverted back into the surface water sewer systems as it makes its way to the river. The locations will be in areas of little tree cover or verges and will remove a small area of tarmac surfacing, install an urban garden to benefit the environment as well as reduce flood risk.		
Project Funds requested	£75,000 (suggest 5 locations with a cost of £15,000 per location).		
Project conclusion	A deliverable project in 2022-23 that would meet most of the set criteria.		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	Deliverable within 2022-23
Risks	None identified
Resources	No additional resource needs identified
External dependencies	Not for installation, would use the Council's in-house Highways & Drainage Works Teams, supported by our Parks Department. Local Community groups / Globe for on-going maintenance of planters to reduce maintenance liability

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds requested	£75,000 (suggest 5 locations with a cost of £15,000 per location).
Capital Funding - <i>Additional funds required</i>	To be explored; Currently there is a £50,000 annual revenue budget available for flood reduction measures, some of which can support these installations.
Revenue considerations	There is a £50,000 budget for flood reduction some of which can be allocated to these installations. All new facilities will be added to the Highway Asset Management Database and future maintenance will be covered within existing Highways Revenue budgets. Watering by Parks for the first 2-years will be required, costs to be covered by existing road surfacing capital funding that is delivering the pavement programme for tree planting.
Value for Money	Provides value for money.
Comment	Within guideline figure of £100,000 in CIL Protocol

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
Natural environment	Ranked 3 rd priority overall in Reading
Climate change and renewable energy	Ranked 2 nd priority overall in Reading

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2021-22	Making increased investment in green infrastructure
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Delivers “ <i>Local highway improvement projects</i> ” and “ <i>Other measures which help to mitigate the impact the development has on the area</i> ”. Supports “ <i>the provision, improvement, replacement, operation or maintenance of local facilities and/or infrastructure</i> ”
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Delivers “ <i>Green infrastructure/access to open space</i> ” as outlined in IDP as part of Local Plan

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Comment
Dependent on location of sites. Supports residential development by helping to adapt to climate change and provision of green infrastructure.

Additional information

Officer Comment	Flood risk within urban environments continues and these schemes collectively are proven to reduce the impact during intensive rainfall events and also provide important biodiversity, supports the Council’s stated Carbon Reduction targets by removing carbon tarmac areas which no longer have to be maintained, as well as supporting the Council’s tree planting ambition. Sites suggested to include St Mary’s Butts, Wokingham Road shop parade where shop bins block signalised crossing points visibility and very little tree cover and other sites TBC.
-----------------	---

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Meets CIL Regulations • Deliverable in 2022-23 • £75,000 sought for 5 locations • Accords well with priorities identified through consultation • Accords with strategic priorities • Relationship to needs of growth depends on location of sites 	<div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>?</div>
--	---

[Return to summary table](#)

Boroughwide 02			
Project Title	Pedestrian dropped kerb facilities (with tactile pavers)		
Nominee	Officer	Ward	Borough-wide
Project Officer	Sam Shean	Department	Highways
Project Description	To carry out a programme of installation of pedestrian dropped kerbs facilities at road junctions Boroughwide to improve access for all road users including all Access & Disability Groups.		
Project Funds requested	£15,000 per ward		
Project conclusion	A deliverable project in 2022-23 that would meet most of the set criteria.		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	Deliverable within 2022-23
Risks	None identified
Resources	No additional resource needs identified
External dependencies	No, would use the Council's in-house Highway Works team

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds requested	£15,000 per ward to enable all Wards to improve accessibility.
Capital Funding - <i>Additional funds required</i>	To be explored; Currently there is a £5k annual revenue budget available for these installations, which is proved inadequate to make a significant inroad into the backlog
Revenue considerations	No - but there is a small £5k budget for these installations. All new facilities will be added to the Highway Asset Management Database and future maintenance will be covered within existing Highways Revenue budgets
Value for Money	Provides value for money.
Comment	Within guideline figure of £100,000 in CIL Protocol

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
General environmental enhancements	Ranked 5 th priority overall in Reading

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
--------------------	---------

Corporate Plan 2021-22	Prioritising the needs of the most marginalised groups
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Delivers “ <i>Local highway improvement projects</i> ” and “ <i>Other measures which help to mitigate the impact the development has on the area</i> ”. Supports “ <i>the provision, improvement, replacement, operation or maintenance of local facilities and/or infrastructure</i> ”
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Delivers “ <i>Walking strategy</i> ” as outlined in IDP as part of Local Plan

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Comment
Dependent on location of sites. Supports residential development by helping to enable active travel.

Additional information

Officer Comment	<p>Reading is an older town where we have a legacy of roads where there are no dropped pedestrian crossing facilities at junctions when the roads were built. Reading is an inclusive environment with people living longer and a growing and ageing population that requires improved access facilities. The Council Highways Team have a small £5k annual budget to roll out a programme of pedestrian dropped kerb access facilities and prioritises requests using as assessment criteria form which scores various aspects including sightline safety, location of utility covers etc. This capital injection will allow a much wider and accelerated programme to be delivered.</p> <p>The number of requests for pedestrian dropped crossing facilities are periodically received each year but these requests are not originating from Wards equally. There is a small revenue budget available to install new facilities, but it is not sufficient. The RBC Access & Disability Forum raise access issues with the Council, and this is an opportunity to make big improvements quickly.</p>
-----------------	---

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Meets CIL Regulations Deliverable in 2022-23 £15,000 sought per ward Accords reasonably with priorities identified through consultation Accords with strategic priorities Relationship to needs of growth depends on location of sites 	<div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>?</div>
---	---

[Return to summary table](#)

Boroughwide 03			
Project Title	Graffiti removal		
Nominee	Officer	Ward	Borough-wide
Project Officer	Sam Shean	Department	Highways
Project Description	To continue on the successful Boroughwide enhanced graffiti removal programme of 2021/22 removing large and legacy graffiti that falls outside of the current graffiti removal policy. Removal of a maximum of 290 additional pieces of graffiti up to 2 m²		
Project Funds requested	£35,000		
Project conclusion	A deliverable project in 2022-23 that would meet most of the set criteria.		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	Deliverable within 2022-23
Risks	None identified
Resources	No additional resource needs identified
External dependencies	None identified

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds requested	£35,000 suggested - Service cost to remove up to 2 m ² of graffiti including materials is £121.11
Capital Funding - <i>Additional funds required</i>	£75,000 was allocated from 15% CIL in 2021, the suggested funds would extend this project
Revenue considerations	None, but normal Council Graffiti Removal Policy will apply which sets out a free maximum removal area of 1 m ² .
Value for Money	Provides value for money.
Comment	Within guideline figure of £100,000 in CIL Protocol

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
General environmental enhancements	Ranked 5 th priority overall in Reading

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
Corporate Plan 2021-22	Keeping the town clean, safe, green and active
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Delivers “ <i>Local highway improvement projects</i> ” and “ <i>Community improvements</i> ”. Supports “ <i>the provision, improvement, replacement, operation or maintenance of local facilities and/or infrastructure</i> ”
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Not specifically identified

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Comment
Dependent on location of sites. Supports residential development by helping to enhance the local environment

Additional information

Officer Comment	<p>For the purposes of this bid, we are not including ‘Graffiti-Art’, where this is commissioned or tolerated by the owner of the surface.</p> <p>The current graffiti removal policy includes removal of up to 1 m². free of charge following reports of graffiti on private property with the owner’s permission.</p> <p>The Council will either ‘paint out’ the graffiti or use a chemical removal process.</p> <p>Graffiti above 1 m² is chargeable; the Council will provide a quotation to the property owner and will attend once payment has been received.</p> <p>The Council through CIL funding are implementing an enhanced graffiti removal project during 2021/22 to tackle an historic backlog, this bid is to ensure that the gains achieved are maintained into future years. The Council through CIL funding are implementing an enhanced graffiti removal project during 2021/22 to tackle an historic backlog, this bid is to ensure that the gains achieved are maintained into future years.</p> <p>This bid will allow for the removal of a maximum of 290 additional pieces of graffiti up to 2 m² - with approval we can use multiples of these to remove any reported graffiti over this size (i.e. a report of graffiti 4 m² would take up 2 x allocations or 6 m² would take up 3 x allocations)</p>
-----------------	---

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Meets CIL Regulations • Deliverable in 2022-23 • £35,000 • Accords reasonably with priorities identified through consultation • Accords with strategic priorities • Relationship to needs of growth depends on location of sites 	<div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>?</div>
---	---

[Return to summary table](#)

Boroughwide 04			
Project Title	Provision of pedestrian handrails to flights of steps on the public highway		
Nominee	Officer	Ward	Borough-wide
Project Officer	Sam Shean	Department	Highways
Project Description	To carry out a programme of installation Boroughwide to install all missing handrails on known public highway flights of steps.		
Project Funds requested	£15,000 per ward		
Project conclusion	A deliverable project in 2022-23 that would meet most of the set criteria.		

CIL Spend Protocol Considerations

The CIL Spend Protocol approved at Policy Committee in Feb 2021 introduced five criteria for project consideration when looking to allocate funds from the 15% budget. These were also subdivided into measurable elements to help consider schemes prior to allocation.

CIL Regulations

Comment
Meets CIL Regulations for 15% spend

Deliverability

Criteria	Comment
Timescales	Deliverable within 2022-23
Risks	None identified
Resources	No additional resource needs identified
External dependencies	None identified

Financial Considerations

Criteria	Comment
Funds requested	£15,000 per ward to enable all Wards to improve accessibility.
Capital Funding - <i>Additional funds required</i>	To be explored/confirmed
Revenue considerations	Items installed will be added to asset database and be maintained by highways within existing revenue budgets
Value for Money	Provides value for money.
Comment	Within guideline figure of £100,000 in CIL Protocol

Accordance with spending priorities identified in consultation

Relevant priority	Comment
General environmental enhancements	Ranked 5 th priority overall in Reading

Relationship with identified strategic priorities

Strategic Priority	Comment
--------------------	---------

Corporate Plan 2021-22	Prioritising the needs of the most marginalised groups
Approved CIL Protocol/ Infrastructure Funding Statement priorities	Delivers “ <i>Local highway improvement projects</i> ” and “ <i>Other measures which help to mitigate the impact the development has on the area</i> ”. Supports “ <i>the provision, improvement, replacement, operation or maintenance of local facilities and/or infrastructure</i> ”
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Delivers “ <i>Walking strategy</i> ” as outlined in IDP as part of Local Plan

Degree to which project meets infrastructure needs arising from or enabling development

Comment
Dependent on location of sites. Supports residential development by helping to enable active travel.

Additional information

Officer Comment	<p>Reading is an older town where handrails were not a standard item installed when the roads were built and many of the steps on the highway were installed. With people living longer we have a growing and ageing population that require at least one handrail on a flight of steps on the public highway and preferably on both sides for where for example someone who only has the use of one of their arms requires handrails to be able to move in down and back up a flight of steps.</p> <p>The number of requests for handrails on public highway steps are increasing year by year, but there is no revenue budget available to install new handrails. Example the various steps leading off Anglefield Road, Coley Ave, Mellor Walk, Rodway Road and many others.</p>
-----------------	---

Conclusion

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Meets CIL Regulations • Deliverable in 2022-23 • £15,000 sought per ward • Accords reasonably with priorities identified through consultation • Accords with strategic priorities • Relationship to needs of growth depends on location of sites 	<div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>✓</div> <div>?</div>
---	---

[Return to summary table](#)